International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences
Vol-10, Issue-3; May-Jun, 2025

Peer-Reviewed Journal
Journal Home Page Available: https://ijels.com/
Journal DOI: 10.22161/ijels

The Power of Stories: Understanding Human Experience Through Qualitative Research

Ozlem Isik

PhD Researcher, Brunel University of London, College of Business, Arts and Social Sciences, Department of Education, England

Received: 15 May 2025; Received in revised form: 08 Jun 2025; Accepted: 13 Jun 2025; Available online: 19 Jun 2025

Abstract— *Qualitative research examines individuals' social, cultural, and psychological life experiences by listening to their stories in their own words, and it accepts the existence of multiple realities shaped by lived experiences. This article explores the role of personal narratives within qualitative research, highlighting the function of these stories both as a method of data collection and as a form of knowledge production. It also explains how qualitative research, based on key theoretical approaches such as phenomenology, constructivism and hermeneutics, helps to illuminate the subjective dimensions of identity, emotion, and memory. Furthermore, the researcher is positioned as someone who participates in the process with positionality and simultaneously takes on the role of a reflexive agent. Utilising methods such as in-depth interviews, participant observation, thematic and narrative analysis, this paper demonstrates that qualitative research is beyond numerical generalisations and focuses on understanding human stories. Real-life stories are at the heart of qualitative research because they hold emotions, meanings, and perspectives that cannot be captured by numbers alone. These stories reveal how individuals make sense of their world, offering deep insight into their identities, struggles, and growth. For instance, the story of a Middle Eastern woman learning English in London is not just about learning a language; it is about her identity, fear, pride, and resilience in a new cultural environment. Many studies focus on what people say, but they often miss something deeper: the story itself. This paper fills that gap by showing that meaning is not just found in the content, but in the storytelling process, where both the participant and the researcher shape the understanding together.*

Keywords— ***Qualitative Research, Personal Narratives, Identity, Reflexivity, Storytelling***

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's world, we often hear a lot of numbers and statistics. But behind every number is a real person with a story to tell. Qualitative research focuses on these personal stories to understand people's emotions, identities, and lived experiences. Instead of using only numbers, it listens to how people describe their lives in their own words (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

This paper looks at the power of stories in qualitative research. It explains how listening to people's real-life experiences helps researchers understand what it means to live, struggle, learn, and grow in different social and cultural settings (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000). Stories are more than just memories: they are full of meaning, shaped by personal feelings and unique situations (van Manen, 1990; Creswell and Poth, 2018).

The research shows that meaning comes not only from the words people use, but also from the way they tell their story and how the researcher listens and reacts. This interaction between the participant and the researcher helps build a shared understanding (Berger, 2015). By using approaches like narrative inquiry, phenomenology, and constructivism, this paper highlights how stories help us explore identity, emotion, and belonging in a way that numbers cannot (Charmaz, 2006; Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

1.1. Types of Research: Strengths and Weaknesses

Researchers generally use three main types of research approaches: **qualitative**, **quantitative**, and **mixed methods**. Each approach has its own purpose, strengths, and limitations, depending on the study's goals.

Qualitative research is used to explore and understand people's lived experiences, beliefs, emotions, and social contexts. It involves collecting detailed, non-numerical data through methods such as interviews, observations, and focus groups (Creswell and Poth, 2018). One major strength of qualitative research is its ability to provide deep, rich, and meaningful insights into complex human behaviours and situations (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018). It is particularly valuable when studying sensitive topics or underrepresented groups. However, one weakness is that its findings are usually based on small, non-random samples, which makes it difficult to generalise results to a larger population (Patton, 2015). The analysis can also be subjective, depending heavily on the researcher's interpretation (Nowell et al., 2017).

Quantitative research focuses on measuring and analysing variables using numerical data. It often uses surveys, experiments, or statistical models to test hypotheses and examine relationships between variables (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). A key strength of quantitative research is that it can produce results that are generalisable to larger populations if the sample is large and randomly selected. It is also useful for comparing groups and identifying patterns or trends. However, a major limitation is that it may miss the deeper meanings behind people's actions or feelings, especially if the questions are too structured or closed-ended (Bryman, 2016). It may not be suitable for understanding complex emotions or individual perspectives.

Mixed methods research combines both qualitative and quantitative approaches in a single study. It aims to get a fuller picture by using the strengths of both methods (Tashakkori and Teddlie, 2010). For example, a researcher might begin with interviews to explore a topic in depth, then follow up with a survey to measure how common the patterns are. The main strength of mixed methods is that it provides both depth and breadth in understanding a research problem (Creswell and Plano Clark, 2018). However, one weakness is that it can be time-consuming and complex to design, conduct, and analyse, especially when trying to integrate different types of data (Fetters, Curry and Creswell, 2013).

In summary, no research type is better than the others; the choice depends on the research question, context, and goals. Good research often combines different methods to achieve a more complete and balanced understanding.

1.2. The Soul of Social Research: Reconnecting Academia with Human Experience

In recent decades, academic research, especially in the social sciences, has increasingly focused on objectivity, structure, and measurable outcomes. While these approaches have produced valuable knowledge, they often overlook something essential: the human side of research. Numbers can show how many people are unemployed or displaced, but they cannot express what it feels like to lose a job, migrate to a new country, or live in social isolation. This section argues that qualitative research, particularly when centred on personal stories, helps reconnect academic work with real human experience.

One reason for this disconnect is the strong influence of positivist thinking, which seeks control, predictability, and general laws. While helpful in many scientific fields, this way of thinking can fall short when dealing with emotional, personal, and cultural aspects of life. As Denzin and Lincoln (2018) explain, human actions cannot be fully understood without exploring the meanings people attach to them. In other words, it is not enough to observe behaviour; we must also understand experience.

This is where qualitative research becomes important. Through stories and interviews, researchers can learn how people make sense of their lives. Clandinin and Connelly (2000) point out that stories reveal not just what happened but also how people felt and changed over time. These details are often missing from numbers and graphs, but are vital for understanding and empathy.

A good example comes from refugee studies. A statistical report may say that a country received one hundred thousand refugees in a year. But qualitative research shares the voice of one refugee mother who crossed the sea, calmed her children in a crowded shelter, and learned a new language while building a new life. Her story reveals the strength, fear, and hope behind the numbers (Eastmond, 2007).

Reconnecting academic research with human experience also means rethinking the researcher's role. Rather than being a distant observer, the researcher becomes part of a shared process, listening deeply and helping to create meaning together with the participant (Berger, 2015). This kind of research values emotion, connection, and personal involvement.

In fact, recent scholarship supports the idea that emotion and empathy are not weaknesses in research, but strengths. Tracy (2010) introduces the idea of resonance, where strong qualitative research touches readers on an emotional

level and encourages them to reflect. Research that resonates is more likely to create change in thinking, teaching, and policy.

In conclusion, the true heart of social research lies not in distance and neutrality, but in connection and care. Through personal stories, careful listening, and respectful reflection, qualitative research brings us closer to the people behind the theories and statistics. It helps us remember that knowledge is not just about facts, but about lives.

1.3. Value and Importance of Ethical Considerations in Research

Ethical considerations are a vital part of every research study. They ensure that the research respects human rights, protects participants from harm, and maintains honesty and trust throughout the research process. Ethics in research is not only about following rules but also about acting with responsibility, fairness, and care for others (Resnik, 2020). Without strong ethical standards, research can cause emotional, psychological, or physical harm to individuals and can lead to distrust in academic and professional institutions.

Researchers must follow ethical guidelines throughout the planning, data collection, analysis, and publication stages. While some ethical principles apply to all research types, each research approach has specific ethical concerns based on its nature and methods.

In qualitative research, ethical issues are often more personal and sensitive because this type of research involves close interaction with people. Common methods include interviews, observations, and narrative inquiry, which often require participants to share personal experiences or emotions. Therefore, researchers must be especially careful to gain informed consent, protect confidentiality, and respect the emotional well-being of participants (Orb, Eisenhauer, and Wynaden, 2001). Reflexivity is also important in qualitative research, meaning the researcher must be aware of their own role and power in the research process and avoid influencing or misrepresenting the participants (Berger, 2015). Trust and respect are central values in qualitative ethics because participants often share deep or painful stories.

In quantitative research, the ethical focus is more on data accuracy, objectivity, and the protection of large numbers of participants. This research often uses surveys, experiments, or numerical data and involves random sampling or control groups. Key ethical concerns include obtaining informed consent, avoiding deception, keeping data anonymous, and ensuring that statistical findings are reported honestly and without manipulation (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Unlike qualitative research, there is usually less direct contact with participants, but ethical care is still needed to protect privacy and avoid misuse of results.

In mixed methods research, both qualitative and quantitative tools are used together. This means that researchers must combine the ethical responsibilities of both approaches. They need to protect personal stories in interviews while also maintaining accurate and fair use of numerical data. Integration of data must be done carefully and respectfully, especially when sensitive topics are involved (Tashakkori and Teddlie, 2010). Ethical communication is also important in mixed methods because combining two types of data can lead to complex interpretations that may affect how findings are presented or understood.

In general, ethics in all research types plays a key role in protecting human dignity, avoiding harm, and maintaining public trust. The Belmont Report (1979) outlined three basic principles that apply across all research: respect for persons, beneficence, and justice. Respect means recognising people's right to make choices about participating. Beneficence means doing good and preventing harm. Justice means treating people fairly and ensuring equal access to the benefits of research.

When ethics are ignored or handled poorly, the consequences can be serious. Famous cases such as the Tuskegee Syphilis Study in the United States, where researchers observed but did not treat participants with syphilis, remind us of the damage that unethical research can cause (Reverby, 2009). These past mistakes continue to shape current ethical standards and highlight the need for careful, respectful research practices.

In conclusion, ethics in research is not just a formal requirement but a foundation of responsible and meaningful inquiry. Each research approach has its own ethical responsibilities, and researchers must take them seriously to protect people, promote truth, and ensure that research serves the greater good.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Qualitative research has its roots in the early 20th century, mainly within the fields of sociology and anthropology. It first became widely used as a way to study human behaviour, culture, and social life by observing people in their natural environments. Researchers such as Franz Boas and Bronisław Malinowski are considered pioneers in using in-depth observation and interviews to understand different cultures and human practices (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

In the 1960s and 1970s, qualitative methods gained more attention in the social sciences, especially as researchers began to question the limits of purely numerical (quantitative) approaches. This shift was part of a broader movement that recognised the importance of understanding people's meanings, experiences, and emotions, things that numbers alone could not fully capture (Lincoln and Guba, 1985).

The development of qualitative research was also heavily influenced by philosophical traditions, such as phenomenology**,** hermeneutics, and constructivism. Phenomenology, led by scholars like Edmund Husserl and later van Manen (1990), focused on exploring how people experience the world through their senses, memories, and emotions. Hermeneutics, influenced by thinkers such as Gadamer (2004), emphasised that understanding happens through interpretation and dialogue. Constructivism added the idea that knowledge is not fixed but shaped by each person's background and social context (Creswell and Poth, 2018).

During the 1990s, qualitative research became more widely accepted in education, health, and psychology. Researchers like Clandinin and Connelly (2000) introduced narrative inquiry, which focused on the role of storytelling in research. Their work showed that personal stories are not only useful for collecting information but are also a way of understanding human life.

Today, qualitative research is used in many disciplines to explore personal and social issues. It includes a variety of methods such as in-depth interviews, focus groups, case studies, and narrative or thematic analysis. According to Charmaz (2006), qualitative research helps us understand how people give meaning to their experiences, especially in complex or emotional situations.

In summary, qualitative research has evolved over the past century from early ethnographic studies to a wide range of modern approaches that focus on lived experience, meaning, and interpretation. It is especially valuable when the goal is to explore human stories in depth and to understand how people make sense of their lives in context.

2.1. Data Collection and Analysis in Qualitative Research

In qualitative research, data collection focuses on gathering rich, detailed information about people's thoughts, feelings, and experiences. The most common methods include in-depth interviews**,** focus groups**,** participant observation**,** and document analysis (Creswell and Poth, 2018). These tools allow researchers to explore participants' personal stories in their own words and in their natural settings.

In-depth interviews are often used to collect personal narratives. They give participants the freedom to speak openly about their experiences and emotions. Focus groups involve several participants discussing a topic together, which helps the researcher understand shared views and group dynamics (Patton, 2015). Participant observation lets the researcher watch, observe and sometimes take part in the daily activities of the people they work with. This helps the researcher learn things about the setting and behaviour that might not be mentioned in interviews (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

Participant observation allows the researcher to be present in the participants' daily environment and, when appropriate, to take part in their everyday activities. These activities vary depending on the research setting and purpose. For example, in educational settings, the researcher might attend language classes, observe group work, or participate in informal discussions with learners (Creswell and Poth, 2018).

In community-based or cultural contexts, they may take part in local events, celebrations, or religious gatherings to better understand group values and social norms (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

In home or social settings, the researcher might share a meal, take part in casual conversations, or help with daily routines such as cooking or childcare, which can reveal cultural practices that participants may not express in interviews (Spradley, 1980).

In workplace or service environments, researchers may observe how participants interact with colleagues, customers, or support providers and participate in job-related or training tasks where suitable (Patton, 2015). These experiences help the researcher understand unspoken behaviours, power dynamics, and emotional responses that might otherwise be missed. By being physically present and engaged, the researcher gathers valuable contextual data that supports a deeper interpretation of the participant's lived reality (DeWalt and DeWalt, 2011).

Once the data is collected, the next step is data analysis. In qualitative research, this involves searching for patterns, meanings, and themes in the words, actions, or documents collected. Two commonly used analysis methods are thematic analysis and narrative analysis**.**

Thematic analysis is a flexible method that involves identifying and organising key themes or ideas that appear repeatedly in the data (Braun and Clarke, 2006). These themes help the researcher understand how participants make sense of their world.

Conversely, narrative analysis examines how individuals construct and present their stories, focusing on the structure, sequence of events, and emotional tone used to convey their experiences (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000).

During both data collection and analysis, the researcher plays an active and reflexive role**.** This means they are aware of their own background, beliefs, and emotions, and how these might influence the research process. Being reflexive helps increase the trustworthiness and depth of the study (Berger, 2015).

In summary, qualitative research uses flexible and human-centred tools to collect and analyse data. These methods aim to understand the deeper meanings behind people's experiences, rather than just counting or measuring them.

2.2. Strengths and Weaknesses of Qualitative Research

One of the main strengths of qualitative research is its ability to explore complex human experiences in depth. It allows researchers to understand people's thoughts, emotions, behaviours, and social interactions within real-life contexts (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018). Through methods such as interviews, observations, and narrative analysis, qualitative research provides rich, detailed data that reveal the meanings people attach to their experiences (Creswell and Poth, 2018). It is especially useful for studying sensitive topics, marginalised groups, and social phenomena that cannot be easily measured with numbers (Patton, 2015).

Another strength is its flexibility. Qualitative research does not follow a fixed path; it allows researchers to adjust their focus as new insights emerge during the study. This makes it well-suited for exploratory research and for understanding issues that are still poorly defined (Silverman, 2021). Furthermore, it encourages reflexivity, meaning the researcher constantly reflects on how their own background, values, and role may influence the research process and outcomes (Berger, 2015).

However, qualitative research also has limitations. One of the main weaknesses is that its findings are not easily generalisable to larger populations, since it often involves small, non-random samples (Creswell and Poth, 2018). The goal is depth, not breadth, which means the results are context-specific and may not apply in other settings. Additionally, data analysis can be time-consuming and subjective, as it relies on the researcher's interpretation, which may be influenced by personal bias (Nowell et al., 2017).

Another limitation is that the quality of qualitative research depends heavily on the researcher's skill. Poorly conducted interviews or observations can lead to weak data. Ensuring trustworthiness, credibility, and transparency requires careful planning and ongoing reflection throughout the study (Lincoln and Guba, 1985).

In summary, qualitative research is a valuable approach for gaining deep, meaningful insights into human life, but it must be conducted with care to manage its limitations and ensure the research is rigorous and ethical.

2.3. Is Generalisability Always Good in Research?

Whether generalisability is important depends on the type and aim of the research. In quantitative research, generalisability is often seen as a key strength because it allows findings from a sample to be applied to a larger population. This is achieved through random sampling and statistical analysis, which aim to produce objective and replicable results (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Quantitative studies often seek to test hypotheses or predict outcomes, and therefore, generalisability is necessary to confirm that the findings are valid beyond the specific group studied.

In contrast, qualitative research prioritises depth over breadth. It focuses on understanding people's real-life experiences, emotions, and the meanings they attach to events, often within specific social or cultural contexts (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

As a result, it does not aim to generalise findings to a wider population in a statistical sense. Instead, it values what Lincoln and Guba (1985) called transferability, the idea that findings may be meaningful to others in similar situations if the context is well-described. Researchers provide thick, detailed descriptions so that readers can decide whether the results are relevant to their own context.

Some scholars argue that generalisability is not always necessary or even desirable in qualitative work. Flyvbjerg (2006), for example, suggested that the power of qualitative research lies in its ability to explore unique cases that challenge general assumptions. He argued that deeply studying a single case can often reveal more about a phenomenon than a large-scale survey because it uncovers the complexities and contradictions of real life. Similarly, Smith, Flowers, and Larkin (2009) emphasised that idiographic understanding, or the detailed study of individual cases, allows for insights that large studies might overlook.

Tracy (2010) added that qualitative research should be judged by criteria such as resonance and sincerity, rather than its ability to generalise. In her view, good qualitative research connects with readers emotionally and intellectually, allowing them to see the world differently.

This type of impact may not be measurable through generalisability but is nonetheless valuable in shaping understanding and practice.

In summary, generalisability is valuable in quantitative studies where broad application is the goal. However, in qualitative research, the aim is to understand the complexity and meaning of specific human experiences. While qualitative findings may not be statistically generalisable, they can still offer powerful insights that influence thinking, policy, and practice. The choice between generalisability and depth depends on the purpose of the research and the nature of the questions being asked.

2.4. Positionality and Reflexivity in Qualitative Research

In qualitative research, positionality means the researcher's awareness of their own background, beliefs, values, identity, and how these may influence the research process. It includes recognising things like gender, ethnicity, class, culture, or life experiences that might shape how the researcher views the topic or interprets participants' stories (Berger, 2015). For example, a female researcher interviewing women about gender discrimination may relate closely to the topic, which can influence the types of questions asked and how the answers are understood.

Reflexivity is closely related. It is the ongoing process where the researcher thinks carefully about their role in the research, including how their presence, assumptions, and personal experiences might affect the data collection, analysis, and interpretation (Finlay, 2002). Reflexivity helps improve the honesty, depth, and trustworthiness of the research by encouraging the researcher to be transparent about their influence on the study (Etherington, 2004).

In qualitative research, these concepts are especially important because the researcher is often closely involved with the participants and may act as both a listener and an interpreter. Unlike in quantitative research, where the goal is usually to remain objective and distant, qualitative research recognises that the researcher cannot be completely separate from the process. Instead of ignoring bias, qualitative researchers try to understand and manage it (Creswell and Poth, 2018).

Although positionality and reflexivity are central to qualitative work, they are becoming more recognised in other types of research as well. In mixed-methods or action research, where the researcher might engage with communities or interpret results alongside statistical data, reflexivity helps explain why certain decisions were made and how interpretations were shaped (Mertens, 2015). Even in quantitative research, scholars are starting to acknowledge that total objectivity is hard to achieve, and that researcher assumptions may still influence the design or interpretation of results (Guba and Lincoln, 2005). Therefore, while positionality and reflexivity are most deeply embedded in qualitative research, they can also bring value to other research approaches. Being reflective improves transparency, ethical awareness, and the overall credibility of any study.

III. LISTENING BEYOND WORDS: STORIES AS LIVING KNOWLEDGE IN A FRAGMENTED WORLD

In today's fast-paced world, where everything is focused on data and quick communication, personal stories help us remember the real feelings and experiences that make us human.

They carry more than information; they carry emotion, memory, identity, and truth. Yet, in many research spaces, these lived experiences are often reduced to numbers, categories, or codes. This chapter argues that qualitative research, when grounded in storytelling, not only captures human reality but also helps repair the emotional and social fragmentation of our time.

Stories are not simply a way to collect data: they are a form of living knowledge (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000). When a participant shares their story, they are not just recalling events; they are revealing how they have made sense of those events in light of who they are, what they feel, and where they come from. As van Manen (1990) notes, the story is a pathway into the soul of human experience—it shows not just what happened, but how it was lived.

In qualitative research, listening to stories is not passive. It is an ethical and relational act. The researcher is not a distant observer but a co-creator of meaning (Berger, 2015). The process of listening, asking, and reflecting becomes a shared journey: one that respects vulnerability and fosters deep understanding. As Gadamer (2004) emphasised, understanding emerges in the space between people, in the dialogue, in the silence, in the pause after someone says something that shakes your worldview.

Consider the story of an immigrant woman learning English in a new country. Her story is not simply about language learning; it is about dislocation, fear, survival, and identity. In her broken sentences are traces of strength, shame, and the slow rebuilding of selfhood. No statistic, no scale, no survey could fully express what it means for her to read a bus sign without asking for help. Her narrative is a living testimony of resilience—a kind of

truth that cannot be quantified but must be felt and understood (Creswell and Poth, 2018).

This chapter challenges the notion that validity in research is only found in generalisability or objectivity. Instead, it suggests that authentic understanding is found in what Tracy (2010) calls *resonance*, when a story reaches into the reader's own life and changes how they see the world. One story, honestly told and deeply heard, has the power to transform minds, challenge systems, and open space for justice and empathy.

Furthermore, storytelling in research offers a form of resistance. It resists silence, invisibility, and dehumanisation. When people are invited to speak in their own words and are truly heard, research becomes a tool for liberation. As bell hooks (1994) wrote, "The moment we choose to love, we begin to move against domination, against oppression." In this way, listening becomes a radical act.

Finally, this chapter invites researchers to listen beyond the words and pay attention to tone, gesture, silence, and emotion. What is unsaid is sometimes louder than what is spoken, and sometimes, the space between the lines is where the truest meaning lives.

In a world overwhelmed by information, we need not more data but more care. Stories remind us that behind every dataset is a heartbeat. Qualitative research, when guided by narrative, invites us to feel the knowledge, not just think it. And that is how we remember what it means to be fully human.

IV. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

This study is based on three key theoretical approaches, phenomenology, constructivism, and hermeneutics, that help explain how people share and understand their life experiences.

Phenomenology focuses on understanding how individuals experience the world through their own feelings, thoughts, and memories. It explores how people perceive the events in their lives by examining their stories closely.

According to van Manen (1990), phenomenology aims to reveal the deeper and hidden meaning behind daily life experiences by focusing on how those experiences are perceived.

Constructivism is based on the idea that there is no single truth. Instead, people build their own understanding of reality based on their background, culture, and social environment. This means that different people may see the same event in different ways. As Creswell and Poth (2018) explained, constructivist researchers believe that personal and social experiences form knowledge.

Hermeneutics is the theory of interpretation. It suggests that meaning is not fixed but is created through the process of interpreting language, symbols, and actions. Gadamer (2004) explained that understanding is created through a conversation between the speaker and the listener, where both share their own experiences to make sense of the story together.

These three theories are the key elements guiding the research, revealing how people share their stories and real-life experiences. They also help understand how the surrounding shapes their views and how talking and thinking create meaning.

V. THE ROLE OF STORIES IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

In qualitative research, stories are a powerful tool to understand human experiences. They allow participants to express their thoughts, emotions, and personal views in a natural and meaningful way. Through storytelling, researchers can gain insight into how individuals understand their identity, relationships, and life challenges (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000). Stories are not just about what happened: they show how people feel about those events and what those events mean to them.

The storytelling process helps build a bond between the researcher and the participant. It creates a shared space where both listen to each other, think deeply, and make sense of the story together (Berger, 2015). As Berger (2015) explained, this interaction helps both the participant and the researcher shape the framework of the story. In this way, stories go beyond facts and become a form of knowledge that reflects the richness and complexity of real life.

VI. THE POWER OF PERSONAL STORIES

Personal stories offer a meaningful way to understand how people live, adapt, and find strength in their everyday lives. For example, the experience of a Middle Eastern woman learning English in a new country is not only about language; it is also about identity, fear, pride, and resilience (Creswell and Poth, 2018; van Manen, 1990). Her story reveals how individuals deal with change, build confidence, and find their place in unfamiliar environments.

Stories like these help researchers see the human side of social issues. One honest and emotional story can challenge common beliefs and open up new ways of

thinking (Denzin and Lincoln, 2018). Rather than looking only at statistics or general trends, personal narratives bring attention to the lived realities that often go unnoticed or ignored. They help understand individual struggles and strengths, making research more compassionate and connected to real life.

VII. CONCLUSION

Personal narratives in qualitative research serve as powerful tools for understanding how individuals experience the world and derive meaning from their lives. These stories give voice to individuals, especially those whose experiences are often overlooked or misunderstood in mainstream research (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000).

Through storytelling, participants share their emotions, identities, and beliefs, allowing researchers to see the depth and complexity of human life. As Denzin and Lincoln (2018) pointed out, one personal story, when shared honestly and with emotion, can challenge dominant ideas and offer a new way of seeing the world.

By listening carefully and engaging in dialogue, researchers and participants co-create rich, meaningful, and deeply human knowledge (Berger, 2015). Personal narratives are not only a method of collecting information but also a way of changing perspectives and fostering empathy in both research and society.

This paper benefits academia by reaffirming the value of human experience as a valid and meaningful source of knowledge. In a time when academic research often prioritises measurable outcomes and statistical generalisability, this study highlights the importance of narrative and lived experience in understanding social reality. By focusing on qualitative research methods, particularly storytelling and personal narratives, the paper offers a deeper exploration of identity, emotion, memory, and meaning areas that are often underrepresented in mainstream research. It contributes to theoretical discussions on constructivism, phenomenology, and narrative inquiry, while also encouraging reflexive and ethical practices in research design. Additionally, the paper provides a practical framework for applying qualitative storytelling in education, migration studies, and mental health research, thereby enriching interdisciplinary dialogue and expanding methodological diversity within the academic community (Clandinin and Connelly, 2000; Creswell and Poth, 2018; Denzin and Lincoln, 2018).

REFERENCES

[1] Berger, R. (2015) 'Now I see it, now I don't: Researcher's position and reflexivity in qualitative research', *Qualitative Research*, 15(2), pp. 219–234.

[2] Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) 'Using thematic analysis in psychology', *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77–101.

[3] Charmaz, K. (2006) *Constructing Grounded Theory*. London: SAGE.

[4] Clandinin, D.J. and Connelly, F.M. (2000) *Narrative Inquiry: Experience and Story in Qualitative Research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

[5] Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 5th edn. London: SAGE.

[6] Creswell, J.W. and Plano Clark, V.L. (2018). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. 3rd edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[7] Creswell, J.W. and Poth, C.N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. 4th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[8] DeWalt, K.M. and DeWalt, B.R. (2011) *Participant Observation: A Guide for Fieldworkers*. 2nd edn. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

[9] Denzin, N.K. and Lincoln, Y.S. (2018). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 5th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[10] Eastmond, M. (2007) 'Stories as lived experience: Narratives in forced migration research', *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 20(2), pp. 248–264.

[11] Etherington, K. (2004). *Becoming a Reflexive Researcher: Using Our Selves in Research*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

[12] Finlay, L. (2002) 'Negotiating the swamp: The opportunity and challenge of reflexivity in research practice', *Qualitative Research*, 2(2), pp. 209–230.

[13] Flyvbjerg, B. (2006) 'Five misunderstandings about case-study research', *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), pp. 219–245.

[14] Gadamer, H.G. (2004). *Truth and Method*. 2nd edn. London: Continuum.

[15] Lincoln, Y.S. and Guba, E.G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park, CA: SAGE.

[16] Mertens, D.M. (2015). *Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity With Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods*. 4th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[17] Nowell, L.S., Norris, J.M., White, D.E. and Moules, N.J. (2017) 'Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria', *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–13.

[18] Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L. and Wynaden, D. (2001) 'Ethics in qualitative research', *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(1), pp. 93–96.

[19] Patton, M.Q. (2015). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*. 4th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[20] Resnik, D.B. (2020) 'What is ethics in research and why is it important', *National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences*. Available at:

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/research/resources/bioethics/whatis/index.cfm (Accessed: 15 May 2025).

[21] Reverby, S.M. (2009). *Examining Tuskegee: The Infamous Syphilis Study and Its Legacy*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

[22] Silverman, D. (2021). *Qualitative Research*. 5th edn. London: SAGE.

[23] Smith, J.A., Flowers, P. and Larkin, M. (2009) *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research*. London: SAGE.

[24] Spradley, J.P. (1980) *Participant Observation*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

[25] Tashakkori, A. and Teddlie, C. (2010) *SAGE Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social & Behavioural Research*. 2nd edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

[26] Tracy, S.J. (2010) 'Qualitative quality: Eight "big-tent" criteria for excellent qualitative research', *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), pp. 837–851.

[27] United States National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioural Research (1979). *The Belmont Report*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.