International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences
Vol-11, Issue-5; September-October, 2026

Peer-Reviewed Journal
Journal Home Page Available: https://ijels.com/
Journal DOI: 10.22161/ijels

Literary Criticism of Sir Philip Sidney: A Critical Study on An Apology for Poetry

Dr. Murugesan A.

Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy and Comparative Religion, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India
dramurugesanvb@gmail.com

Received: 25 Sep 2026; Received in revised form: 23 Oct 2026; Accepted: 27 Oct 2026; Available online: 31Oct 2026
©2026 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract— *Sir Philip Sidney's "An Apology for Poetry," also known as "The Defense of Poesy," is one of the foundational works of English Renaissance literary criticism. Written in a period when poetry was challenged by moral, religious, and philosophical objections, the treatise argues that poetry is an ancient, imaginative, and morally productive form of knowledge. This paper examines Sidney's use of the term "apology," the intellectual and cultural context of the work, and its principal arguments. It summarizes the treatise in four connected parts: the antiquity and dignity of poetry, the nature and kinds of poetic imitation, poetry's superiority to philosophy and history in moving readers toward virtue, and Sidney's answers to the major objections against poetry. The paper then evaluates the merits and limitations of Sidney's criticism. Its merits include the powerful conception of the poet as a maker, the integration of pleasure and instruction, the emphasis on imagination and memory, and the recognition of poetry's social and political force. Its limitations include the defensive character of its argument, its selective use of Plato and Aristotle, its dependence on historical exempla, and its unresolved tension between pleasure and moral discipline. The study concludes that Sidney remains relevant because he connects literary form with ethical education, political imagination, cultural authority, and the reader's active response.*

Keywords— ***Sir Philip Sidney; An Apology for Poetry, The Defense of Poesy, Renaissance Humanism, Literary Criticism, Mimesis, Poetry and Morality, Rhetoric, History, Philosophy.***

I. INTRODUCTION

Sir Philip Sidney's an Apology for Poetry occupies a central position in the history of English literary criticism. The work is not simply a defense of verse against hostile religious opinion; it is also a wide-ranging account of how literature creates knowledge, shapes emotion, forms moral judgment, and contributes to civic life. Sidney presents poetry as an art of imitation, but his imitation is not mechanical copying. The poet is a "maker" who imaginatively constructs a second nature in order to reveal possibilities of human conduct that ordinary experience may not provide. Recent scholarship therefore treats the Apology as a synthesis of poetics, rhetoric, moral philosophy, political thought, and theories of reading (Brljak, 2025; Craig, 1980; Mack, 2025).

The treatise belongs to the intellectual world of Renaissance humanism, in which classical texts were recovered, translated, debated, and adapted for contemporary education and public life. Sidney draws on classical authorities while also responding to specifically English controversies about drama, pleasure, morality, and religious discipline. His method is simultaneously literary and forensic: he defines poetry, establishes its antiquity, compares it with other forms of learning, answers objections, and finally judges the condition of English poetry. The result is a work whose argumentative structure reflects its subject: poetry is defended through history, philosophy, rhetoric, example, and imaginative performance.

1.1. Use of the Term "Apology"

The word "apology" derives from the Greek *apologia*, meaning a formal defense or speech in answer to an accusation. It does not primarily mean an expression of regret. Sidney adopts the term in this forensic sense

because poetry has been placed in the position of an accused art. His title establishes a rhetorical situation: there is an accuser, there are charges, and there must be a reasoned defense. The title also gives the work an implicit legal and civic seriousness. Poetry is not treated as a private amusement that needs casual justification; it is treated as a public practice whose reputation and social function require examination.

The defensive title does not make Sidney's work narrow. Rather, it allows him to turn an accusation into an opportunity for definition. Before poetry can be defended, Sidney must explain what poetry is, what poets do, and what kind of poetry deserves approval. The "apology" consequently becomes an act of literary theory. Craig (1980) describes Sidney's poetics as a hybrid formation because it combines classical concepts, Christian materials, rhetorical traditions, and Renaissance educational ideals. The title signals this movement from defense to system-building.

The term also carries a degree of strategic caution. Sidney does not present himself as an isolated revolutionary; he speaks as a learned gentleman answering a cultural dispute. This rhetorical posture enables him to acknowledge abuses of poetry while maintaining that abuse does not invalidate the art itself. Lamb's (1994) account of the "nurse of abuse" controversy is especially useful here: the treatise must defend poetic pleasure without appearing to endorse moral disorder. Sidney's title therefore frames the central tension of the work—poetry must be defended because it is powerful, but its power makes its uses ethically consequential.

1.2. Context of Sidney

Sidney wrote within the Elizabethan period, when English culture was negotiating the relationship between classical learning, Protestant reform, courtly values, national identity, and popular entertainment. The immediate background of the Apology is commonly associated with attacks on poetry and theater, especially Stephen Gosson's The Schoole of Abuse (1579). Such attacks accused poets and players of encouraging idleness, sexual disorder, falsehood, and moral corruption. Sidney's response is not a simple rejection of moral criticism. Instead, he accepts that bad poetry can be abusive but argues that the abuse belongs to particular works, writers, or readers—not to poetry as such.

Sidney's education and public identity also shape the treatise. He was formed by the humanist curriculum, which connected grammar, rhetoric, history, moral philosophy, and classical literature. His understanding of poetry reflects the humanist belief that learning should produce virtuous action, not merely accumulate information. The poet therefore resembles the orator and the moral philosopher: each seeks to move the mind, but the poet can unite universal thought with vivid example and emotional force (Barkan, 1995; Sakthivel & Amalan, 2026).

The political dimension of this context is equally important. The Apology imagines poetry as a form of cultural and civic education. Its examples of ideal rulers, heroic action, religious song, and historical memory show that poetic representation is connected with the formation of persons and communities. Farhadi, Mozaheb, and Honarjou (2019) demonstrate how Sidney's poetics can also be read in relation to imperial and national imagination. Poetry does not merely describe a society; it helps a society imagine its values, rulers, conflicts, and possible futures.

II. SUMMARY OF AN APOLOGY FOR POETRY

Sidney's treatise does not present a rigid chapter system in the modern sense. Nevertheless, its movement can be organized into four major parts: the origin and dignity of poetry; the definition and varieties of poetry; the comparison of poetry with philosophy and history; and the answers to objections followed by a criticism of English literary practice.

2.1 Part One

The first part establishes the antiquity and authority of poetry. Sidney argues that poetry preceded many formal branches of learning and served as an early "nurse" of knowledge. The first teachers of religion, morality, history, and civic ideals often communicated through verse. Poetry is therefore not an ornament added to civilization after serious knowledge has been established; it is one of the means by which civilization becomes possible.

Sidney then explains the meaning of "poet." The word is related to the Greek *poiein*, "to make." The poet is consequently a maker rather than a mere imitator of visible reality. By exercising imaginative freedom, the poet creates an "other nature," a world in which moral possibilities can be represented more clearly than in ordinary life. This account of poetic making anticipates later theories of creative imagination. Mack (2013) interprets Sidney's

poetics as a theory of imitation that does not simply duplicate creation but imaginatively participates in its generative power.

The first part also gives poetry a high cultural status. The poet can treat divine, philosophical, heroic, pastoral, comic, and tragic subjects. Such variety is not evidence of

disorder; it demonstrates that poetry can address every dimension of human experience. Sidney's argument is therefore both historical and ontological: poetry is ancient, universal, and capable of producing forms of reality that instruct human beings.

2.2 Part Two

The second part defines poetry as an art of imitation or representation. Sidney uses the language of "counterfeiting," "figuring forth," and "speaking pictures." The phrase "speaking picture" expresses his belief that poetry unites verbal representation with visual immediacy. It makes absent things present to the imagination and transforms abstract principles into memorable scenes, characters, and actions.

Sidney divides poetry into several kinds, including religious, philosophical, historical, comic, tragic, pastoral, lyric, and epic forms. He gives particular importance to the "right poet," whose imaginative inventions are directed toward virtuous understanding. The poet does not simply report what has happened. The poet represents what may happen, what ought to happen, or what human beings are capable of becoming. This is why poetic fiction can communicate moral truth without being literal history.

The governing purpose of poetry is to teach and delight. Instruction without pleasure may fail to reach the reader, while pleasure without ethical direction may become harmful. Sidney's theory thus depends on a union of cognition, emotion, and action. Poetry gives knowledge, makes that knowledge attractive, and moves the reader toward conduct. Helfer (2022) strengthens this interpretation by emphasizing the relationship between poetic form, memorable images, and the art of memory. Sidney's "speaking picture" is powerful partly because it helps knowledge remain in the mind.

2.3 Part Three

The third part compares poetry with philosophy and history. Sidney respects both disciplines but argues that each is limited when considered as an instrument of moral education. Philosophy offers general principles, but its language may be abstract, difficult, and inaccessible to readers who lack specialized training. History offers particular examples, but it is bound to what has actually occurred and therefore includes the moral confusion, accident, and imperfection of real events.

Poetry, Sidney argues, combines the strengths of both. Like philosophy, it can represent universal truths; like history, it can embody those truths in concrete actions and memorable examples. A poem can show an ideal ruler, a virtuous hero, a destructive passion, or the consequences of injustice. Through imaginative form, it makes moral knowledge emotionally available. Barkan (1995) helps explain this process by connecting Renaissance literary representation with the capacity of images to "speak" that is, to produce meaning through vivid form rather than abstract statement alone.

Poetry is therefore not inferior because it invents. Its fiction can be more ethically illuminating than fact when it reveals patterns of conduct. Sidney's famous defense of poetic truth rests on this distinction between factual assertion and imaginative representation. The poet does not claim that fictional events literally occurred. Because the poet "nothing affirmeth," poetic invention should not be condemned as ordinary lying. Fiction becomes a means of expressing moral and imaginative truth.

2.4 Part Four

The fourth part answers the main objections against poetry. Sidney responds to the charges that poetry is a waste of time, that poets are liars, that poetry is morally corrupting, and that Plato banished poets from the ideal republic. He distinguishes between the abuse of poetry and the proper use of poetry. If a poem encourages vice, the problem lies in the poem's misuse of its power, not in the existence of poetic art.

Sidney's response to Plato is selective but rhetorically effective. He argues that Plato's criticism was directed toward certain kinds of poets and toward the harmful effects of false representation, not toward all imaginative art. Krouse (1954) shows that the relation between Plato and Sidney is complex: Sidney both answers Platonic suspicion and borrows philosophical authority to strengthen his own defense.

The final movement turns from general defense to English literary criticism. Sidney criticizes the disorder, mechanical imitation, and weak dramatic practice of contemporary English poetry. He praises the potential of English as a poetic language but demands greater discipline, structure, decorum, and craftsmanship. The work therefore ends not with unconditional praise but with reform. A nation that possesses poetic talent must also cultivate poetic standards.

III. A CRITICAL STUDY OF AN APOLOGY FOR POETRY

Sidney's treatise is persuasive because it combines theoretical ambition with rhetorical flexibility. It is also open to criticism because its arguments do not always fit together without tension. Its value lies partly in this combination of achievement and incompleteness.

3.1 Merits of An Apology for Poetry

The first major merit of the Apology is its comprehensive account of literary value. Sidney does not reduce poetry to entertainment, moral preaching, historical record, or formal ornament. He understands it as a composite art that acts upon intellect, memory, emotion, and will. The treatise also anticipates later debates about representation, imagination, reader response, and the social function of art.

A second merit is its rhetorical design. Sidney does not merely assert that poetry is valuable; he demonstrates value through examples, comparisons, distinctions, historical references, and carefully arranged objections. His prose moves between philosophical argument and imaginative illustration. This combination makes the Apology itself an example of the persuasive power it attributes to poetry.

A third merit is its defense of imaginative freedom. The poet is not confined to copying the world as it happens to be. By creating an "other nature," poetry can criticize the present and imagine alternatives. Such a view permits literature to become a space for ethical and political reflection. Stillman (2002) emphasizes that Sidney's defense is connected with the dangers of tyranny and with the capacity of poetic forms to challenge rigid or oppressive modes of power.

3.1.1 The Power of Poetry

For Sidney, the power of poetry rests on the union of knowledge and movement. Philosophy may teach, history may show, and poetry can do both while also moving the reader emotionally toward action. The poet's task is therefore not complete when a reader understands a moral proposition. The reader must also desire, imagine, and perform the good. This theory gives poetry a distinctive ethical force. Poetry creates exemplary figures, dramatizes consequences, and gives emotional shape to principles. It can make virtue attractive and vice repellent. Its rhythms, images, plots, and voices engage memory and imagination, allowing moral ideas to become part of the reader's inner life. Helfer (2022) shows why memory is essential to this account: a moral lesson that cannot be remembered or emotionally apprehended may not influence conduct.

Poetry's power is also social. Poems transmit cultural memories, preserve religious and political ideals, and teach communities how to imagine leadership and justice. Farhadi et al. (2019) demonstrate that Sidney's poetic theory participates in larger Elizabethan ideas about empire and political identity. The poet's "making" is thus not detached from public life. It helps construct the symbolic world in which political life becomes intelligible.

3.1.2 Problem of Poets

The "problem of poets" is the central difficulty that Sidney must solve. If poetry is powerful, it can also be dangerous. Poets may glorify violence, sensuality, vanity, or false authority. The reader may pursue pleasure without moral reflection. Sidney does not deny these possibilities. His strategy is to distinguish the nature of poetry from the conduct of particular poets and the abuse of particular poems.

This distinction is important because it allows Sidney to preserve a strong theory of poetic power without accepting a theory of automatic moral influence. Poetry does not make every reader virtuous simply because it is poetry. Its effects depend on subject, form, purpose, performance, social context, and reception. Sakthivel and Amalan (2026) emphasize that Sidney's defense constructs poetic authority through humanist rhetoric: the poet must claim cultural legitimacy, but that legitimacy is tied to responsible use.

The weakness of this solution is that Sidney sometimes relies on the moral intentions of the "right poet" more than on the complexity of actual reception. A poem may be designed to teach virtue and still be read for different reasons. Sidney recognizes the risk of abuse, but his confidence in moral design can appear greater than his account of readerly unpredictability.

3.2 Demerits of An Apology for Poetry

The term "demerits" should not be understood as a dismissal of Sidney's achievement. Rather, it identifies the limitations and tensions that become visible when the treatise is read historically and critically. Sidney's argument is powerful partly because it is unfinished: it contains competing models of poetry, knowledge, pleasure, and authority.

3.2.1 Term "Apology"

The defensive title gives the work energy, but it also places poetry in a subordinate position. Poetry appears to require authorization from philosophy, religion, history, and public morality. Instead of beginning from the autonomy of aesthetic experience, Sidney frequently justifies poetry by showing that it serves higher purposes. This may weaken the possibility that poetry has value through formal complexity, emotional ambiguity, or non-instrumental pleasure.

The title also encourages a polemical structure. Because Sidney is answering accusations, he sometimes gives disproportionate attention to his opponents' terms. The treatise must repeatedly prove that poetry is ancient, useful, truthful, and morally safe. Lamb (1994) shows that

this defensive situation produces an unresolved anxiety about pleasure. Sidney wants pleasure to attract readers toward virtue, yet he must also guard against the possibility that pleasure may become independent of virtue.

3.2.2 Defense through Philosophy

Sidney's philosophical defense is intellectually ambitious but selective. He draws upon Plato, Aristotle, Horace, Cicero, and Christian traditions, often combining ideas that are not fully compatible. Craig (1980) calls attention to the hybrid character of Sidney's theory. The synthesis is productive, but it can also appear theoretically unstable.

Sidney's treatment of Plato is a particularly clear example. He uses Platonic criticism as an objection to be answered, yet he also adopts philosophical language about truth, virtue, and the ideal. His Aristotelian account of imitation coexists with a moral and metaphysical emphasis that is closer to Platonism. Mack (2025) shows that Sidney's relation to philosophy should be understood not as a settled system but as a dynamic engagement with philosophical materials.

Another limitation is the tendency to rank poetry above philosophy by emphasizing philosophy's difficulty and poetry's accessibility. This comparison may underestimate the imaginative and rhetorical dimensions of philosophy. It also assumes that poetry's emotional appeal is necessarily more effective than rational reflection. In practice, the two forms may support, complicate, or correct one another.

3.2.3 Defense through History

Sidney uses history in two apparently conflicting ways. On the one hand, he argues that poetry is superior to history because history is confined to actual events and cannot guarantee that reality will provide morally ordered examples. On the other hand, he depends heavily on historical anecdotes, classical authorities, national literary history, and examples of famous rulers and poets.

This dependence is not necessarily a contradiction. Sidney may be distinguishing history as a discipline from historical materials as rhetorical evidence. Nevertheless, the distinction is not always explicit. Miller (1987) demonstrates the importance of Plutarchian materials to Sidney's argument, showing that Sidney's defense relies on the moral and exemplary possibilities of historical writing even while it criticizes history's limitations.

The historical defense is also shaped by Renaissance cultural hierarchy. Sidney often assumes that classical sources provide the most authoritative evidence. His criticism of English poetry may therefore reproduce the standards of classical and continental culture rather than fully recognizing the distinctive possibilities of vernacular forms. Brljak (2025) notes that Sidney's Defense remains important precisely because it stages the tension between inherited classical theory and the emerging authority of English literature.

IV. RELEVANCE OF THE STUDY

Sidney's Apology remains relevant for at least five reasons. First, it offers an early sustained account of literature as a form of knowledge. Contemporary debates about whether literature teaches anything, produces empathy, preserves memory, or shapes public discourse continue to revisit questions that Sidney formulated in Renaissance terms.

Second, Sidney's account of the poet as a maker remains useful for theories of imagination and representation. Literature does not merely reproduce social reality; it selects, organizes, intensifies, and reimagines experience. This insight is relevant to studies of fiction, narrative, fantasy, adaptation, and digital storytelling.

Third, the Apology remains important for debates about pleasure and morality. Sidney's effort to join delight with instruction resembles current discussions of ethical criticism, reader response, popular culture, and the responsibilities of artists. His distinction between art and abuse also helps explain why a cultural form cannot be judged solely by its worst examples. Fourth, Sidney's political understanding of poetry is increasingly significant. Literature can shape ideas of nation, empire, leadership, citizenship, and resistance. Studies of statecraft and cultural power continue to find in Sidney a model for examining how imaginative forms influence political ideals (Farhadi et al., 2019; Stillman, 2002).

Finally, the Apology is relevant to interdisciplinary study. It brings literary criticism into contact with philosophy, rhetoric, education, theology, history, memory studies, and political theory. Its limitations are productive because they reveal how literary theory develops through argument, borrowing, revision, and contradiction rather than through perfectly closed systems.

V. CONCLUSION

Sir Philip Sidney's an Apology for Poetry is a foundational work because it treats poetry as an intellectually serious and socially consequential art. Its use of "apology" establishes a formal defense, but the work exceeds the immediate dispute that produced it. Sidney defines the poet as a maker, poetry as an art of imitation, and literary pleasure as a means of making knowledge memorable and ethically effective. His comparison of poetry with

philosophy and history shows his central conviction: poetry can unite universal thought with concrete example and can move readers where abstract instruction alone may fail.

The work's merits are substantial. It offers a powerful theory of imagination, memory, moral education, rhetorical persuasion, and political possibility. It also gives the poet a public responsibility. At the same time, its defensive structure creates limitations. Sidney sometimes justifies poetry too strongly through external moral and philosophical standards; his treatment of Plato and Aristotle is selective; and his criticism of history depends upon historical materials. The tension between pleasure and discipline, freedom and responsibility, and invention and truth remain unresolved.

That unresolved quality is one reason the Apology continues to matter. Sidney's treatise does not provide a final definition of literature. Instead, it gives literary criticism a durable set of questions: What does poetry know? How does it move readers? Can fiction tell the truth? What is the relation between pleasure and virtue? How should art participate in public life? These questions ensure that An Apology for Poetry remains not only a historical document but also a living text in the study of literary criticism.

References

[1] Sidney, P. (2002). An apology for poetry (R. W. Maslen, Ed.). Manchester University Press. (Original work published ca. 1595)

[2] Barkan, L. (1995). Making pictures speak: Renaissance art, Elizabethan literature, modern scholarship. Renaissance Quarterly, 48(2), 326–351. https://doi.org/10.2307/2863068

[3] Bibi, G. (2024). Philip Sidney's an Apology for Poetry: A scholarly analysis. Journal of Education and Social Studies, 5(3), 52–60. https://doi.org/10.52223/jess.2024.5306

[4] Brljak, V. (2025). The Defense of Poesy. In The Oxford handbook of Philip Sidney (pp.189-218). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198859451.013.32

[5] Craig, D. H. (1980). A hybrid growth: Sidney's theory of poetry in An Apology for Poetry.

[6] English Literary Renaissance, 10(2), 183–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475- 6757. 1980.tb00790.x

[7] Farhadi, R., Mozaheb, M. A., & Honarjou, E. (2019). The concept of empire in Elizabethan literature: Reading Persia in Sidney's Apology for Poetry and Marlowe's Tamburlaine plays. Studies in Literature and Language, 18*(1), 41–47. https://doi.org/10.3968/10810

[8] Helfer, R. (2022). The art of poetry and the art of memory: Philip Sidney's mnemonic poetics. Renaissance and Reformation, 45(2), 105–137. https://doi.org/10.33137/rr.v45i2.39760

[9] Mack, M. (2025). Sidney and philosophy. In The Oxford handbook of Philip Sidney (pp. 541–555). Oxford University Press.

[10] Miller, A. (1987). Sidney's Apology for Poetry and Plutarch's Moralia. English Literary Renaissance, 17(3), 259–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6757.1987.tb00936.x

[11] Sakthivel, P., & Amalan, R. (2026). The rhetoric of defense: Poetic authority and humanist discourse in Sidney's An Apology for Poetrie. International Journal of English,

[12] Literature and Social Science, 11(4), 89–93. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.114.14

[13] Stillman, R. E. (2002). The truths of a slippery world: Poetry and tyranny in Sidney's "Defense." Renaissance Quarterly, 55 (4), 1287–1319. https://doi.org/10.2307/1262104