International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences
Vol-10, Issue-5; Sep-Oct, 2025

Peer-Reviewed Journal
Journal Home Page Available: https://ijels.com/
Journal DOI: 10.22161/ijels

Advocacy for Social Change in Indian English Novels

Dr. Krushna Chandra Mishra

Professor, Department of English, Rajiv Gandhi University, Doimukh, Arunachal Pradesh, India

Received: 22 Aug 2025; Received in revised form: 19 Sep 2025; Accepted: 22 Sep 2025; Available online: 26 Sep 2025

Abstract— *This paper examines the persistent tradition of advocacy for social change in Indian English novels across historical periods—from pre-independence to the twenty-first century. It argues that the Indian English novel has consistently functioned as a vehicle for social critique, bearing witness to caste oppression, gender discrimination, Partition violence, authoritarianism during the Emergency, and the inequalities of globalization. Texts by Mulk Raj Anand, Raja Rao, R. K. Narayan, Khushwant Singh, Kamala Markandaya, Bhabani Bhattacharya, Salman Rushdie, Nayantara Sahgal, Rohinton Mistry, Anita Desai, Shashi Deshpande, Arundhati Roy, Amitav Ghosh, Aravind Adiga, Kiran Desai, Meena Kandasamy, Jhumpa Lahiri, Vikram Seth, Manu Joseph, and Anuradha Roy, among others, are analyzed for their advocacy potential. Through realism, satire, allegory, polyphony, and ecological narrative, these novels intervene in public discourse, expanding readers' ethical horizons and pressing for reforms in caste, gender, environment, democracy, and economic justice.*

Keywords— ***Indian English novel, advocacy, social change, caste, Partition, Emergency, liberalization, gender, environment***

I. INTRODUCTION

From its earliest self-conscious articulations in the 1930s, the Indian English novel has negotiated an unusually intimate relation with projects of social reform. This tradition emerges not as an adjunct to politics but as a constitutive practice of nation-making in which narrative becomes an instrument for witnessing injustice, building counterpublics, and imagining alternative social contracts (Mukherjee, 1985; Boehmer, 2005; Gopal, 2005).

In contrast with strands of Euro-American aesthetics that championed art-for-art's-sake, anglophone fiction in India matured alongside anti-colonial struggle, caste-abolition movements, women's emancipation campaigns, and later developmental critiques, neoliberal transformations, and ecological crises (Menon, 2012; Drèze & Sen, 2013; Fernandes, 2006). For heuristic clarity, this essay reads advocacy across six historical arcs—up to Independence; Post-Independence (1947–1975); up to the Emergency; Post-Emergency; the post-1991 Liberalization-Privatization-Globalization (LPG) era; and the twenty-first century—tracking how novels recalibrate their thematic foci (caste, gender, community, environment) and formal strategies (social realism, satire, magic realism, polyphony, documentary modes).

The corpus features Mulk Raj Anand, Raja Rao, R. K. Narayan, Ahmed Ali, Khushwant Singh, Kamala Markandaya, Bhabani Bhattacharya, Anita Desai, Shashi Deshpande, Nayantara Sahgal, Salman Rushdie, Upamanyu Chatterjee, Rohinton Mistry, Arundhati Roy, Amitav Ghosh, Vikram Seth, Kiran Desai, Aravind Adiga, Jhumpa Lahiri, Manu Joseph, Meena Kandasamy, Jeet Thayil, and Anuradha Roy. While not exhaustive, this set is sufficiently representative to show how advocacy shifts from nationalist witnessing to postcolonial critique, from institutional liberalism to intersectional justice, and finally toward planetary ethics (Prakash, 2019; Ghosh, 2016).

Objectives

The primary objective of this paper is to critically examine the Indian English novel as a sustained tradition of literary advocacy that extends across historical periods—from the pre-independence struggle against colonial domination to twenty-first-century debates around globalization,

environmental crisis, and intersectional justice. The study seeks to demonstrate that these novels are not merely aesthetic artifacts but active interventions in the social and political life of the nation. Specifically, the paper aims to:

1. Trace how advocacy has shifted in focus from nationalist reform and caste abolition in the early decades to Partition memory, post-independence development, Emergency resistance, neoliberal critique, and planetary concerns in the contemporary period.
2. Illustrate how form itself—realism, satire, allegory, polyphony, testimonial narration—has served as an argument for ethical responsibility.
3. Highlight the role of literature in building counterpublics, amplifying subaltern voices, and modelling alternative institutions of justice and coexistence.
4. Engage with selected authors and texts to show how advocacy is historically situated, culturally mediated, and continually reinvented. By achieving these objectives, the paper underscores the enduring relevance of Indian English fiction as a pedagogical, ethical, and political resource in shaping democratic consciousness.

Research Questions

This paper is guided by a set of interrelated research questions that seek to structure inquiry into the advocacy role of the Indian English novel across multiple timeframes:

1. How have Indian English novelists historically engaged with the imperative of social reform, and in what ways has advocacy been integral to their literary practice?
2. In what manner did pre-independence fiction (e.g., Anand, Rao, Narayan) deploy narrative strategies to challenge caste, colonialism, and cultural erosion, and how do these strategies compare with later modes of testimony and critique?
3. How does Partition fiction (e.g., Singh, Nahal, Malgonkar) negotiate the representation of trauma, memory, and reconciliation, and what ethical frameworks are advanced through these depictions?
4. What shifts are observable in post-Emergency fiction regarding state accountability, feminist resistance, and the defence of civil liberties?
5. How do novels of the liberalization era (e.g., Adiga, K. Desai, Ghosh) interrogate the moral economy of globalization, exposing inequality and environmental degradation?
6. What new modes of advocacy emerge in twenty-first-century fiction, particularly with respect to intersectional justice, queer politics, ecological ethics, and digital-era democracy?
7. How do narrative forms—testimonial realism, satire, allegory, montage—function as ethical arguments, disciplining readers into critical forms of citizenship?

Together, these questions aim to map the trajectory of advocacy in Indian English fiction, situating literary production as an indispensable site of democratic struggle and ethical imagination.

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this paper is grounded in a qualitative, interpretive approach that synthesizes literary analysis with socio-historical contextualization. Rather than treating novels as isolated aesthetic objects, the study reads them as embedded within and responsive to specific historical conjunctures: colonial rule, Partition, the Emergency, economic liberalization, and the twenty-first-century climate of globalization and authoritarian drift.

Close reading constitutes the primary methodological tool, enabling attention to textual detail—narrative voice, imagery, allegory, irony, and structure—as evidence of advocacy strategies. This is supplemented by historical contextualization, situating literary production alongside contemporaneous socio-political struggles such as anti-caste reform, women's movements, secular-democratic activism, and environmental justice campaigns.

The paper also employs a comparative framework, placing novels across decades in dialogue with one another to highlight shifts and continuities in advocacy. For example, Anand's testimonial realism is juxtaposed with Adiga's corrosive satire, while Roy's nonlinear feminist jurisprudence is read against Sahgal's liberal vigilance. Secondary scholarship, including postcolonial theory (Boehmer, Gopal), feminist criticism (Menon, Rajan), and political history (Prakash, Drèze & Sen), is mobilized to anchor interpretations within established debates.

By combining close textual analysis with historical and theoretical lenses, the methodology ensures that the advocacy dimension of Indian English novels is not reduced to didacticism but understood as an evolving negotiation between form, ethics, and socio-political transformation.

Advocacy in the Pre-Independence Novel

The interwar decades consolidated anglophone fiction as a vehicle for social critique, with the novel deployed as testimony and tool for moral persuasion. Mulk Raj Anand's *Untouchable* compresses a day in Bakha's life to anatomize the humiliations of sanitation labour, ritual pollution, and spatial segregation; its close focalization and rhythm of interruption embed readers in the ordinary violence of caste (Anand, 1935/2001; Mukherjee, 1985). If *Untouchable* frames caste as embodied injury, *Coolie* disperses Munoo across plantation, factory, and city, revealing how colonial capitalism and caste entwine to produce mobile regimes of exploitation (Anand, 1936/2001).

Raja Rao's *Kanthapura* marries Gandhian satyagraha to village orature; the narrator—an elder woman—vernacularizes nationalist politics so that boycotts, lathi-charges, and women's pickets become legible within a mythic moral cosmos (Rao, 1938/2008; Gopal, 2005). Ahmed Ali's *Twilight in Delhi* records the attrition of a civilizational lifeworld under colonial rule; its elegiac mode advocates cultural self-respect as a political claim (Ali, 1940/2007). R. K. Narayan's *Swami and Friends* stages schoolboy consciousness amid colonial pedagogy and protest, gently ironizing authority while charting the birth of civic feeling (Narayan, 1935/2006). K. S. Venkataramani's *Murugan, the Tiller* and Krishna Kripalani's fiction participate in Gandhian reformist discourse, foregrounding rural uplift and the dignity of labour (Venkataramani, 1933; Kripalani, 1937).

Together, these texts advocate social reconstruction by witnessing caste violence, translating Gandhi into local idioms, and mourning cultural erosion—constituting reading itself as a civic discipline that anticipated later postcolonial debates on caste abolition, women's participation, and communal harmony (Boehmer, 2005; Gopal, 2005).

Advocacy in Post-Independence Fiction (1947–1975)

The early decades after 1947 reframed advocacy: the violence of Partition, rural precarity, and women's status within new constitutional promises. Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* locates tragedy in a Sikh-Muslim-Hindu village whose interlaced intimacies disintegrate under retaliatory desire; the novel's defence of pluralism is enacted through neighbourliness and a final act of sacrificial courage (Singh, 1956/2007; Butalia, 1998; Pandey, 2001).

Kamala Markandaya's *Nectar in a Sieve* chronicles peasant dispossession as a tannery reshapes a village economy; Rukmani's narration registers hunger, migration, and medical precarity, inviting reflections on entitlements and humane development (Markandaya, 1954/2002; Drèze & Sen, 2013). Bhabani Bhattacharya's *So Many Hungers!* indicts profiteering and colonial apathy during the Bengal famine, anticipating postcolonial welfare debates (Bhattacharya, 1947/1979). Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City* move advocacy to the intimate theatre of the psyche—where patriarchal injunctions distort selfhood—contesting the privatization of women's suffering by bringing it into public ethical debate (Desai, 1963/2001).

Manohar Malgonkar's *A Bend in the Ganges* and Chaman Nahal's *Azadi* extend Partition's ledger of harm, dramatizing cycles of communal vengeance and the fragile possibility of reconciliation (Malgonkar, 1964; Nahal, 1975/2013). R. K. Narayan's *The Guide* allegorizes authenticity and reinvention; Rosie's trajectory toward artistic agency challenges marital control and respectability politics (Narayan, 1958/2006). These works reorient advocacy from colonial rule to the ethics of the new nation-state—calling for secular coexistence, land and food security, and substantive gender equality.

Advocacy in Fiction up to the Emergency

By the early 1970s, disillusionment with corruption and governance set the stage for authoritarian temptation. Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*—though published in 1981—allegorizes the preconditions and aftermath of the Emergency through Saleem Sinai's fragmented body and the sterilization of dissent; its magic-realist polyphony contests official narratives by restoring cacophony to the public sphere (Rushdie, 1981/2006; Boehmer, 2005; Prakash, 2019).

Nayantara Sahgal's *Rich Like Us* anatomizes elite complicity, censorship, and coercive population control, advocating liberal vigilance and institutional accountability (Sahgal, 1985/2002). Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day* explores the sediment of Partition and gendered duty in a decaying Delhi household, suggesting how familial repression mirrors political stagnation (Desai, 1980/2001). Upamanyu Chatterjee's *English, August* later satirizes post-Emergency bureaucracy hollowed by ennui and corruption, indicating why citizens withdraw from civic life (Chatterjee, 1988/2010; Fernandes, 2006). Vikram Seth's *A Suitable Boy* set in the early 1950s advocates secularism and women's choice within a democratic public—an ideal repeatedly threatened in the following decades (Seth, 1993).

Collectively, these novels defend pluralism and civil liberties, using satire, allegory, and domestic realism to expose how everyday authoritarianisms coagulate into state violence.

Advocacy in Post-Emergency Fiction

In the aftermath of the Emergency, anglophone fiction doubled down on testimony, insisting on the human costs of

technocratic governance. Rohinton Mistry's *A Fine Balance* assembles tailors, a student, and a widow into a precarious solidarity doomed by sterilizations, slum clearances, and police brutality—a sustained argument against developmentalism unmoored from rights (Mistry, 1995/2006; Prakash, 2019). Amitav Ghosh's *The Shadow Lines* interrogates the very grammar of borders and communal memory, arguing for a cosmopolitan ethics as antidote to cartographic nationalism (Ghosh, 1988/2009; Pandey, 2001).

Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things* refracts caste policing, gendered sexuality, and state impunity through a nonlinear investigation that resembles feminist jurisprudence: form itself becomes argument as the narrative reconstructs a case file of everyday authoritarianisms (Roy, 1997/2002; Menon, 2012; Rajan, 1993). Shashi Deshpande's *That Long Silence* offers a devastating brief against marital patriarchy and silencing, while *The Dark Holds No Terrors* earlier mapped the violence of respectability inhabiting the modern home (Deshpande, 1980; Deshpande, 1988/2008). Rohinton Mistry's *Such a Long Journey* further indicts corruption and communalized politics in Bombay, showing how macro-politics colonize everyday life (Mistry, 1991/2011).

These works recast advocacy as memory against amnesia and as insistence that development and security must be judged by their effects on the vulnerable.

Advocacy in the Liberalization Era (Post-1991)

The LPG era reconfigured the social terrain: spectacular growth coexisted with intensifying inequality, urban dispossession, and new regimes of aspiration. Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* stages a confession from the "Darkness" to the "Light," weaponizing satire to expose a caste-encoded servitude that underwrites entrepreneurial success (Adiga, 2008; Drèze & Sen, 2013). His *Last Man in Tower* dramatizes the coercive nexus of developers, brokers, and residents in Mumbai's real-estate boom, asking whether consent is meaningful under structural duress (Adiga, 2011).

Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* braids Himalayan insurgency, mimicry, and migrant precarity, revealing global hierarchies embedded in intimate life (Desai, 2006; Fernandes, 2006). Amitav Ghosh's *The Hungry Tide* links conservation with refugee politics through the suppressed history of Morichjhãpī, advocating an environmentalism of the poor (Ghosh, 2004; Ghosh, 2016). Vikram Chandra's *Sacred Games* anatomizes the crime–state nexus, while Anita Nair's *Ladies Coupé* imagines a feminist commons inside a railway compartment. Jhumpa Lahiri's diaspora fiction registers how liberalization reorders desire, family, and mobility (Lahiri, 2013).

These novels critique the triumphant rhetoric of the market by insisting that growth be measured against justice for migrants, workers, and ecosystems.

Advocacy in Twenty-First Century Fiction

Twenty-first century Indian English novels widen advocacy along intersectional and planetary axes: caste, gender, religion, class, and queerness entangle with climate crisis and digital public spheres. Arundhati Roy's *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* composes a choral archive of trans communities, Dalit activism, Kashmir's insurgent geographies, and bureaucrats of conscience, arguing for coalition politics and an ethics of hospitality toward the "unhoused" (Roy, 2017).

Meena Kandasamy's *The Gypsy Goddess* re-narrates the 1968 Kilvenmani massacre of Dalit labourers through experimental refusal of readerly comfort, challenging the conventions by which atrocity is aestheticized (Kandasamy, 2014). Manu Joseph's *Serious Men* satirizes caste mobility and scientized meritocracy, complicating celebrations of "New India" (Joseph, 2010). Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Lowland* revisits Naxalbari's afterlives across diasporic space, linking political violence to intimate grief (Lahiri, 2013). Amitav Ghosh's *Gun Island* presses narrative toward nonhuman agencies and climate migration, extending his long project of environmental justice (Ghosh, 2019; Ghosh, 2016).

Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis* maps addiction and urban abjection as social symptoms, while Anuradha Roy's *Sleeping on Jupiter* anatomizes sexual violence and religious hypocrisy. Rohinton Mistry's *Family Matters* revisits elder care and communal tensions, underscoring the ethics of interdependence (Mistry, 2002/2011). Githa Hariharan's *In Times of Siege* defends academic freedom and secular pedagogy, anticipating contemporary struggles over knowledge and citizenship (Hariharan, 2003).

These novels function as early warning systems for democratic backsliding and as design labs for inclusive futures.

III. FINDINGS

Several systematic findings emerge from this study of advocacy in Indian English novels, revealing the genre's capacity to function as a moral and political laboratory for democratic societies.

1. Advocacy as Historical Continuum

Across nine decades, Indian English fiction demonstrates remarkable continuity in its advocacy role, though its thematic concerns evolve with historical context. Pre-independence novels foreground caste reform, Gandhian

satyagraha, and nationalist identity. Post-independence fiction shifts focus to Partition trauma, rural development, and women's status. The Emergency catalyzes a body of fiction committed to resisting authoritarianism. Post-1991 liberalization novels turn attention to economic inequality, dispossession, and ecological justice, while twenty-first-century texts broaden advocacy to include intersectional justice and planetary ethics. This continuity suggests that advocacy is not incidental but constitutive of the Indian English novel.

2. Form as Advocacy

A key finding is that literary form itself operates as a mode of advocacy. Anand's testimonial realism disciplines readers into empathy with subaltern lives, while Rao's oral narrative style vernacularizes nationalist struggle. Rushdie's magic realism disrupts singular historical narratives, Roy's nonlinear structures mimic legal casework, and Adiga's confessional satire exposes systemic corruption. Such strategies show that advocacy is not reducible to content but is embedded in narrative design.

3. Witnessing and Memory as Ethical Practice

From *Untouchable* to *A Fine Balance* and *The God of Small Things*, novels function as repositories of memory, resisting official erasures of caste violence, Partition atrocities, or Emergency brutality. This commitment to remembering the marginalized is itself an ethical form of advocacy, positioning literature as a counter-archive against state-sanctioned amnesia.

4. Institutions and Counter-Institutions

Another consistent pattern is the novel's dual engagement with institutions. On one hand, literature exposes the failures of the state, family, courts, and markets—revealing corruption, gender oppression, and communal politics. On the other, it imagines counter-institutions: cooperative networks in *A Fine Balance*, feminist commons in *Ladies Coupé*, ecological publics in *The Hungry Tide*. This dialectical engagement suggests that fiction does not merely critique but also prototypes alternative social models.

5. Expanding Horizons of Advocacy

The most recent phase of Indian English fiction illustrates the expansion of advocacy into global and ecological dimensions. Works such as *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* and *Gun Island* recognize that democratic advocacy cannot be confined to national borders but must include marginalized communities across caste, gender, queer, and refugee lines, as well as nonhuman actors threatened by climate crisis. Advocacy thus becomes planetary in scope, signalling a shift from nation-building to world-making.

6. Pedagogical Value

Finally, the study finds that Indian English novels, by dramatizing ethical dilemmas and social injustices, serve a pedagogical role in cultivating citizenly responsibility. Reading becomes an act of democratic participation, training attention toward the vulnerable and fostering critical engagement with public life.

In sum, the systematic findings show that advocacy is not peripheral but central to the Indian English novel's aesthetic and ethical project. Literature operates here as testimony, critique, memory, and design—an indispensable resource for envisioning democratic futures in times of crisis.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that advocacy is not incidental but constitutive of the Indian English novel, sustained across pre-independence, post-independence, Emergency, liberalization, and contemporary phases. Guided by close reading and socio-historical contextualization, the analysis confirmed that literary production consistently intervenes in public life by bearing witness, critiquing institutions, and imagining alternatives.

Four durable dynamics stand out. First, witnessing is ethical method: testimonial realism and memory-work refuse erasure, whether in Anand's sanitation colonies, Singh's Partition villages, or Mistry's Emergency slums (Anand, 1935/2001; Singh, 1956/2007; Mistry, 1995/2006). Second, form is argument: orature, allegory, montage, and satire discipline readers into citizenly attention, from Rao's Gandhian orature to Rushdie's magic realism and Roy's nonlinear feminist jurisprudence (Rao, 1938/2008; Rushdie, 1981/2006; Roy, 1997/2002). Third, institutions matter: advocacy targets the state, police, courts, markets, families, and schools while also imagining counter-institutions such as cooperatives, feminist commons, and ecological publics (Prakash, 2019; Menon, 2012; Ghosh, 2004, 2016). Fourth, horizons have widened: twenty-first-century fiction binds intersectional justice to planetary ethics, as seen in Roy's trans-Dalit coalitions or Ghosh's climate narratives (Roy, 2017; Ghosh, 2019).

The objectives outlined at the outset—to trace historical shifts, to illustrate the formal innovations of advocacy, and to establish the pedagogical value of fiction—have thus been met by examining a representative corpus from Anand and Rao to Roy and Adiga. The guiding research questions on caste, Partition, authoritarianism, globalization, and intersectionality find coherent answers in recurring patterns of testimony, critique, and alternative imagining. Methodologically, the study reaffirmed that interpretive literary analysis, anchored in historical and theoretical

frames, remains indispensable for understanding how novels participate in democratic life (Mukherjee, 1985; Boehmer, 2005; Gopal, 2005).

In sum, the Indian English novel emerges as both witness and architect: it remembers silenced lives, unsettles official narratives, and designs provisional blueprints for more just futures. In a time of algorithmic publics, democratic fragility, social polarization, and ecological precarity, these novels exemplify literature's enduring capacity to cultivate ethical attention, build solidarities, and extend the horizons of citizenship. Advocacy, as this study shows, is the Indian English novel's most sustained and generative contribution to the cultural and political life of the subcontinent.

REFERENCES

[1] Adiga, A. (2008). *The white tiger*. HarperCollins.
[2] Adiga, A. (2011). *Last man in tower*. HarperCollins.
[3] Ali, A. (2007). *Twilight in Delhi*. Random House. (Original work published 1940)
[4] Anand, M. R. (2001a). *Untouchable*. Penguin. (Original work published 1935)
[5] Anand, M. R. (2001b). *Coolie*. Penguin. (Original work published 1936)
[6] Bhattacharya, B. (1979). *So many hungers!*. Orient Paperbacks. (Original work published 1947)
[7] Boehmer, E. (2005). *Colonial and postcolonial literature* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
[8] Butalia, U. (1998). *The other side of silence: Voices from the partition of India*. Duke University Press.
[9] Chatterjee, U. (2010). *English, August: An Indian story*. Faber & Faber. (Original work published 1988)
[10] Desai, A. (2001a). *Cry, the peacock*. Orient Paperbacks. (Original work published 1963)
[11] Desai, A. (2001b). *Clear light of day*. Vintage. (Original work published 1980)
[12] Desai, A. (2008). *Fire on the mountain*. Vintage. (Original work published 1977)
[13] Desai, K. (2006). *The inheritance of loss*. Atlantic.
[14] Deshpande, S. (1980). *The dark holds no terrors*. Vikas.
[15] Deshpande, S. (2008). *That long silence*. Penguin. (Original work published 1988)
[16] Drèze, J., & Sen, A. (2013). *An uncertain glory: India and its contradictions*. Princeton University Press.
[17] Fernandes, L. (2006). *India's new middle class: Democratic politics in an era of economic reform*. University of Minnesota Press.
[18] Ghosh, A. (2000). *The glass palace*. HarperCollins.
[19] Ghosh, A. (2004). *The hungry tide*. HarperCollins.
[20] Ghosh, A. (2008). *Sea of poppies*. John Murray.
[21] Ghosh, A. (2009). *The shadow lines*. Penguin. (Original work published 1988)
[22] Ghosh, A. (2016). *The great derangement: Climate change and the unthinkable*. University of Chicago Press.
[23] Ghosh, A. (2019). *Gun island*. John Murray.
[24] Gopal, P. (2005). *Literary radicalism in India: Gender, nation and the transition to independence*. Routledge.
[25] Hariharan, G. (2003). *In times of siege*. Penguin.
[26] Joseph, M. (2010). *Serious men*. HarperCollins.
[27] Kandasamy, M. (2014). *The gypsy goddess*. HarperCollins.
[28] Kripalani, K. (1937). *All times are good*. Oxford University Press.
[29] Lahiri, J. (2013). *The lowland*. Alfred A. Knopf.
[30] Malgonkar, M. (1964). *A bend in the Ganges*. Rupa.
[31] Menon, N. (2012). *Seeing like a feminist*. Zubaan.
[32] Mistry, R. (2006). *A fine balance*. Faber & Faber. (Original work published 1995)
[33] Mistry, R. (2011). *Such a long journey*. Faber & Faber. (Original work published 1991)
[34] Mistry, R. (2011b). *Family matters*. Faber & Faber. (Original work published 2002)
[35] Mukherjee, M. (1985). *Realism and reality: The novel and society in India*. Oxford University Press.
[36] Nahal, C. (2013). *Azadi*. Penguin. (Original work published 1975)
[37] Narayan, R. K. (2006a). *Swami and friends*. Penguin. (Original work published 1935)
[38] Narayan, R. K. (2006b). *The guide*. Penguin. (Original work published 1958)
[39] Pandey, G. (2001). *Remembering partition: Violence, nationalism and history in India*. Cambridge University Press.
[40] Prakash, G. (2019). *Emergency chronicles: Indira Gandhi and democracy's turning point*. Princeton University Press.
[41] Rajan, R. S. (1993). *Real and imagined women: Gender, culture and postcolonialism*. Routledge.
[42] Rao, R. (2008). *Kanthapura*. Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1938)
[43] Roy, A. (2002). *The god of small things*. Harper Perennial. (Original work published 1997)
[44] Roy, A. (2015). *Sleeping on Jupiter*. Hachette India.
[45] Roy, A. (2017). *The ministry of utmost happiness*. Hamish Hamilton.
[46] Rushdie, S. (2006). *Midnight's children*. Random House. (Original work published 1981)
[47] Sahgal, N. (2002). *Rich like us*. HarperCollins. (Original work published 1985)
[48] Seth, V. (1993). *A suitable boy*. HarperCollins.
[49] Singh, K. (2007). *Train to Pakistan*. Penguin. (Original work published 1956)
[50] Thayil, J. (2012). *Narcopolis*. Faber & Faber.
[51] Venkataramani, K. S. (1933). *Murugan, the tiller*. George Allen & Unwin.