



The Trauma and Self-purification of Lester Farley in *The Human Stain*

Ren Shuyi

Zhejiang Gongshang University, China

Received: 31 Sep 2025; Received in revised form: 02 Nov 2025; Accepted: 05 Nov 2025; Available online: 09 Nov 2025

©2025 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract— Philip Roth's *The Human Stain* uses Lester Farley, a traumatized Vietnam veteran, to expose America's human stain—the moral corruption festering beneath postwar society. This paper argues that Farley's transformation from idealistic soldier to murderer is not a personal failure but a systemic indictment. Through fragmented trauma narratives, Roth reveals how Farley's war-induced PTSD collides with societal abandonment, culminating in his targeting of Coleman Silk, the symbol of the success and discourse power Farley is denied. The ice-fishing finale, where Zuckerman's silence replaces legal judgment, becomes Roth's ultimate critique: America's violence and hypocrisy, not individual pathology, birthed Farley's tragedy. By synthesizing trauma theory, cultural criticism, and masculinity studies, this analysis reframes Farley as Roth's tragic vessel for condemning national amnesia and cyclical violence.

Keywords— Philip Roth, *The Human Stain*, Trauma Study, Masculinity



I. INTRODUCTION

The Vietnam War of the 1960s and 1970s was a war with no winners. It had no clear mission and was hard to put into words. According to statistics, from 1965 to 1973, more than three million American soldiers served in Vietnam. Nearly 400,000 were killed or wounded. The psychological trauma caused by this war was beyond measure (Holsinger 360). This war tore apart the nation and pushed many veterans to the margins of society (Tal 76). More disturbing are statements such as Heilman's, "the enduring trauma of Vietnam has been the disruption of the American story" (221).

Philip Roth, spoke openly about this period. In a 1974 interview with Walter Mauro, he denounced the "morally out of control" American government of the Vietnam War years, a period he called "the most 'politicized' of [his] life" (Roth, *Reading Myself and Others* 18). Through historical reflection, he described the United States as a "foreign invader" and deeply felt the "dispossession and powerlessness" that dominated the anti-war movement(19). This long concern about the war and its social effects finally appeared in the last book of his American Trilogy—*The Human Stain* (2000).

The novel focuses on the scandal of Coleman Silk, an

African American professor passing as a Jew, and his taboo relationship with the cleaning woman, Faunia Farley. But it is Lester Farley, Faunia's ex-husband who kills both Coleman and Faunia, who is the key to understanding Roth's critique on society. However, critical interpretations of Lester Farley have been mixed. Some critics claim Roth relied too much on old clichés when creating the image of veteran. Aimee Pozorski also points out, "Les Farley, as a figure for the devastating effect of the Vietnam War on the American psyche, has been met with critical silence over the last decade" (112). This silence reflects how official discourse in American society controls the right to speak and systematically ignores the pain caused by war.

This paper argues that seeing Lester Farley as a simple, stereotyped "mad veteran" or a failed character is a misunderstanding of Roth's deeper intention. Roth's seemingly limited and negative depiction of Farley is not a flaw. It is a carefully crafted critical strategy. By tracing Farley's path from a hopeful young man, to a soldier who suffered a spiritual death in Vietnam, to a man with severe PTSD and a murder, Roth exposes the inhuman nature of the Vietnam War. He also criticizes how American society abandoned its veterans after the war, causing them to suffer a second trauma. In the end, Farley's character and his meeting with Zuckerman stand as Roth's most painful and powerful charge against the "human stain"-he hidden guilt and corruption rooted deep within American society.

II. VIETNAM: SPIRITUAL DEATH AND UNHEALED WOUNDS

The tragic life of Lester Farley finds its roots deep in the jungles of Vietnam, where humanity was devoured by war. Like many young Americans who joined the army with the belief that they were going to "save the Vietnamese people" and spread the ideals of democracy and freedom (Gao 89), Farley once described himself as "a loyal American" who volunteered for "not one tour but two," determined "to finish the goddamn job" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 66). However, the brutal reality of war quickly

shattered any idealistic illusions.

Philip Roth does not offer a complete account of Farley's war experience. Instead, through fragmented but emotionally charged flashbacks and Farley's inner monologues, he reconstructs the psychological breakdown of a man destroyed by trauma. Farley recalls, "The second time he goes berserk" (67). The word "berserk" accurately captures the irreversible mental damage caused by war and marks the critical moment when Farley begins to descend into madness. These fragmented memories are filled with extreme violence and dehumanizing actions: "he'd cut off ears because he was there and it was being done" - and even more shockingly, "he'd slashed some pregnant woman's belly open" (67). These are not heroic actions, but horrifying results of war's annihilation of humanity, proof of Farley's mental collapse under fear, hatred, and the pressure to survive. These unforgettable experiences explain his desperate declaration years later: "I died in Vietnam" (74). This seemingly paradoxical statement reveals the essence of his spiritual death-the idealistic, emotionally normal man that Farley once was had been destroyed in the war, leaving only an empty shell haunted by trauma.

Farley's return to America was not a homecoming but an entry into a new arena of trauma. Like many veterans, Farley is excluded from a society that praises patriotism in rhetoric but neglects the psychological realities of those it sent to war. As Susan Jeffords argues, post-Vietnam American culture attempted a "wholesale regeneration of masculinity" through revived myths of patriotism and heroism (135), but this ideological reconstruction excluded men like Farley, whose sense of self was built not on myth but on real violence and trauma. In the cultural reconstruction of masculinity, post-Vietnam War America attempted to restore male authority through national myths of heroism and control, yet failed to accommodate those like Farley, whose masculinity was built on actual, destructive wartime experiences. At the social level, America's mainstream speaks highly of the heroic performances of the

veterans during the war, while individuals who engaged in the war have suffered great pain. The dissonance between the sanitized national myth and Farley's lived, brutal reality became a foundational element of his ongoing mental collapse and social alienation. He was a casualty twice over: first by the war, then by the society that sent him.

Consequently, after returning home, Farley suffers from persistent PTSD. "Flashbacks are a typical symptom of trauma, involving repeated intrusions of the traumatic event into memory or recurring visual scenes" (Li 29). Memories of the battlefield constantly invade Farley's daily life, blurring the line between past and present. One symbolic moment occurs when he tries to rescue his children from a burning house. Before breaking in, he "smelled the smoke" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 68), which instantly activates the hyper-alert survival mode he developed in Vietnam: "The only way he'd survived in Vietnam was that any change, a noise, the smell of an animal, any movement at all in the jungle, and he could detect it before anyone else: alert in the jungle like he was born there" (69). In an instant, his family home transforms into the Vietnamese jungle. Though he cannot see anything, he says, "but all of a sudden he could smell the smoke and these things are flying over his head and he began running" (69). The "things flying over his head" suggest phantom helicopters, showing how the domestic tragedy is fully consumed and replaced by war memory. This collapse of time and space illustrates how Farley can no longer distinguish between home and battlefield.

The war has severely damaged Farley's emotional response system, leaving him numb and dissociated. This numbness is most chillingly reflected in his reaction to the death of his children. On one hand, he blames their deaths on Faunia's neglect and, in therapy, repeats mechanically, "My kids are dead, but my body is numb and my mind is blank. Vietnam" (74). On the other hand, after pulling them from the fire, he stubbornly insists, "They-were-not-dead," and adds, "Two tours in Vietnam you're not going to tell him what dead is" (69). This contradiction is not mere

denial. It is a sign of deep emotional detachment and trauma. Farley is incapable of truly processing grief and instead fills that void with war-scarred language and empty denials.

Farley remains in a constant state of high alert, as if still living on a battlefield. He reacts violently to even minor changes in his environment. His obsessive stalking and threatening of Faunia reflect his uncontrollable rage and the outward projection of his trauma. He blames her for all his failures, seeing her as the source of his suffering. During his marriage to Faunia, he exercised control over their relationship through violence. Even after their divorce, he continued to assert ownership over Faunia: "[a]fter the divorce, she told Coleman, Farley had spied on her all the time" (55). This distorted attribution is a common cognitive symptom in PTSD.

Haunted by fallen comrades and his own violent acts, Farley is crushed by survivor's guilt. He asks, "Why them and not me? Sometimes he thought they were the lucky ones" (214). "Trauma is not only about experiencing death, but about surviving it and not understanding why. The wounded person is haunted by flashbacks...because their survival is unimaginable" (Self 206). Clearly, Lester is not only confused about his own state of being, he is also unable to understand or heal from his trauma. In everyday life, any chance event can bring back memories of death from the past, calling him to fight again and triggering strong reactions. Farley's guilt and nihilism reveal how war has stripped him of his basic belief in the value of life.

Farley has been repeatedly institutionalized for treatment, but "any medicine could only relieve physical pain, not heal the wounds of the soul" (Gao 89). This hopelessness culminates in his attempt to heal through symbolic acts, such as visiting the "Moving Wall", a traveling display of the Vietnam Veterans Memorial. Judith Herman divides trauma recovery into three stages: rebuilding trust and control, remembering the trauma, and reintegrating it (156). This suggests this display could be a step towards integrating his trauma. Friends encourage him, viewing it as "face with it" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 241).

For Farley, the Moving Wall represents a chance to confront his past and find peace. His friends encourage him, and he even tries eating Chinese food to manage his racial anxiety. But when he finally stands before the wall, he feels nothing: “Les knew all these stories of what could happen the first time, and now he is there for the first time, and he doesn’t feel a thing. Nothing happens” (241). The wall, as a public symbol of loss and commemoration, fails to reach the private, inexpressible horror and guilt embedded in Farley’s soul. Rather than providing healing, it confirms his worst fear: “now he knows for sure he’s dead” (242). The symbolic promise of collective healing is empty for individuals with deep, personal trauma like Farley. This failure pushes him further into isolation and propels him towards his violent resolution: the murder of Faunia and Coleman.

Although Zuckerman gives Lester an anti-hero image of the Vietnam War. Instead, he gives a voice to the American Vietnam experience. Through Lester’s memories of the war, Zuckerman creates a deeper character beyond a simple surface. By using a series of family flashbacks, Roth places family tragedy alongside war scenes, tragically repeating the trauma of the war.

While in the surface of this story, Zuckerman gives Lester an anti-hero image of the Vietnam War, Roth is not presenting a stereotyped veteran. He utilizes Lester’s memories not just for pathos, but to give voice to the complex, often unspeakable American Vietnam experience. Utilizing Zuckerman’s narrative reconstruction, Roth delves beneath the surface of the “berserk” veteran. The deliberate juxtaposition of the children’s death and combat flashbacks within Lester’s consciousness tragically illustrates how the war’s trauma perpetually re-enacts itself, entering his present and distorting his perception of reality. Roth thus uses Lester not as a cliché, but as a profound exploration of how unprocessed war trauma destroys the possibility of a coherent self and a livable present.

III. AMERICA’S BETRAYAL: CULTURAL TRAUMA AND THE MAKING OF A KILLER

Lester Farley’s tragedy starts with the mental death and PTSD he suffered in Vietnam, but this is not where it ends. What truly pushes him into the abyss of violence is the systematic abandonment he faces from American society after the war, and the “cultural trauma” (Gao 89) that hangs over the entire nation. This second trauma deeply twists his thoughts and emotions, making him externalize the pain he can no longer bear. In the end, he aims his anger at Coleman Silk, a man who symbolizes everything he has lost and the society that has cast him out.

Farley was once a young man who went to war with the idealistic belief of “spreading democracy and freedom” (Gao 84). Yet when he came home, he was met not with honor or care for his wounds, but with strange looks and cold rejection. As Kali Tal sharply points out, the Vietnam War caused a deep divide within American society and left its veterans pushed to the margins (53). Farley became one of these special veterans, a man broken both mentally and physically. In Vietnam, he was part of a war, but when he returned home, he was treated as a burden and a source of fear. He was unemployed, a failure in every area of life, unable to fit into society. “American soldiers fight for their country. However, after the war... ‘They are brutal, corrupt, dictatorial and completely despised by people’” (Barnard 107). They are isolated from others. The war created alienation, a force that destroys soldiers’ families and lives. This total rejection caused Farley’s social death. The war gave him a sense of purpose and belonging, but after the war, society gave him only labels: “veteran”, “mentally ill person”, “failed husband”, and “abuser”. These labels stripped away his sense of belonging and deepened his identity anxiety. He lost any place he had in his family, his work, and society, becoming a complete outsider.

For America, the Vietnam War was more than a military defeat; it was a deep crisis of legitimacy and a cultural disaster. The war weakened the country politically,

damaged its economy, and destroyed its culture. Americans' pride and sense of superiority suffered a huge blow. The Vietnam War became a brutal reality that Americans refused to revisit, refused to acknowledge, yet could never forget. When Vietnam veterans came home, the wounds of war were torn open again. The anger and shame that came from defeat were placed upon the very people who had suffered the most. These veterans became meaningless sacrifices in this war. Aimee Pozorski pointed that "Les Farley, as a figure for the devastating effect of the Vietnam War on the American psyche, has been met with critical silence over the last decade" (112). This silence in criticism reflects a larger social silence: an official control of discourse and an ignoring of the individual trauma caused by the war.

This collective repression and silence meant that society never truly accepted its responsibility for the war. Instead, it shifted its feelings of defeat, anger, and shame onto the veterans. Farley became a scapegoat for the nation's collective trauma. People felt disgusted by the marks of war he carried, which deepened his exclusion and isolation. This is the second killing of the Vietnam veteran's humanity. Twisted by war and rejection, Farley began to torment his wife, ignoring his duties as a father, and finally acted out his long-suppressed guilt and anger by targeting the innocent Coleman. This brutal act became a release for the deep shame he felt about the killings in Vietnam and a desperate attempt to justify the guilt he felt for surviving when others had died. Under the crushing pressure of identity crisis, social death, and unexpressed trauma, Farley's sense of reality became badly distorted. He needed a concrete target for all the anger and pain he felt. At first, he put the blame for all of his failures and misery on his ex-wife, Faunia, calling her selfish and promiscuous. Yet Faunia herself was a social outcast. She is a cleaner, an illiterate woman pushed to the margins. The person who truly sparked Farley's anger and became the target of his final revenge was Coleman Silk.

The emergence of Coleman Silk in Faunia's life is the seismic event that fractures Farley's already fragile world.

On the surface, Coleman is the interloper, the man who has taken what Farley considers his property-Faunia. This is a direct assault on Farley's already wounded male pride and possessive instincts. He responds with the only tools his tough masculinity understands: surveillance and the threat of violence. "Lester Farley had sent her to the hospital twice in the year before their divorce...(Roth, *The Human Stain* 44) After the divorce, she told Coleman, Farley had spied on her all the time... (55) Farley attempts to maintain a symbolic male sovereignty through violence, surveillance, and intimidation. Such behavior is deeply rooted in a concept of gender hegemony, which holds that women are subordinates of men. Thus, the appearance of Coleman is not just that of another man, but a force that completely subverts the legitimacy of Farley's male role.

More significantly, Coleman does not conform to the prototype of the masculine man as understood by Farley. He is older, physically impotent, and an intellectual accustomed to controlling through language and reason, which stands in a sharp contrast to the violence, intuition, and physical strength represented by Farley. Faunia's choice of Coleman, in Farley's eyes, is almost a betrayal of traditional masculinity: instead of choosing a stronger man, she has thrown herself into the arms of a person who is seen as having lost his masculinity. This is a ridiculous and intolerable humiliation in Farley's value system.

However, Coleman's true threat to Farley lies far deeper than the romantic triangle. Coleman is the antithesis of everything Farley is not and can never be. He is the embodiment of the social success and cultural capital utterly denied to Farley. Once a respected university dean and professor of Classics, Coleman possesses the prestige, intellectual authority, and societal dignity. These all is that Farley craves but has irrevocably lost.

Moreover, the manner in which Coleman wins Faunia's affection is a direct refusal of Farley's perceived traditional kind of masculinity. Coleman, older and physically diminished, relies on language, reason, knowledge, which all the very tools of the social system

Farley cannot access and even comprehend. Farley, in stark contrast, has lost the ability to express himself verbally, possesses no socially recognized communication forms. He became silent, paranoid, and passive. He can only achieve his self-worth through physical violence and paranoid fantasies. Coleman's relationship with Faunia, built on conversation, intellectual connection and mental equality, is utterly alien to Farley. In his value system, Faunia's choice is incomprehensible, even perverse: she rejects a stronger man and chooses for one who, to Farley, appears to have lost his masculinity. Faunia's choice feels like a profound betrayal of the traditional masculinity Farley clings to. It is and attack on Farley's worldview.

In this sense, Coleman becomes far more than a rival. He is the symbol within the entire social evaluation system that has judged Farley worthless. He is the manifestation of the forces that have caused Farley to feel utterly deprived and excluded. Coleman is the mirror reflecting back the brutal truth Farley cannot bear to face: his comprehensive failure not just as a husband, but as a man and a member of society. He is the winner who belongs to a world that is not his own. As R.W. Connell's theory of hegemonic masculinity elucidates, "hegemonic masculinity is sustained through dominance and institutional recognition; when this dominance is threatened, men may resort to violence in a last effort to reestablish power" (839). Farley embodies this destructive potential. His stalking, intimidation, and eventual murder are not expressions of love or even primarily of jealousy over Faunia; they are pathological responses to an existential crisis of masculinity. Unable to compete within Coleman's world of language and reason, unable to reclaim Faunia through socially sanctioned means, he resorts to the only way he understands: destroy.

Farley's hatred for Coleman is both complicated and extreme: it is both jealousy and resentment, making him a loser and an avenger at the same time. Coleman becomes, in Farley's eyes, someone who must be removed. Not because Coleman is truly evil, but because he reflects a truth

that Farley cannot bear to face: the brutal reality of being completely rejected and abandoned by society. It is significant that Les reappears at the end of the novel, for his crazed desire to cleanse the world of Faunia and Coleman is the counterpart to the frenzy of the politically correct crowd at Athena College (Safer 223). Farley's murder is a desperate and twisted form of "expiation of blood by blood" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 7). It is an attempt to gain a sick sense of control by destroying those he believes embody the "stain" of a society that has caused him deep pain and trauma. Through this brutal act, he aims to protest violently against the society that abandoned him. In this way, the violence of war finds a tragic continuation in peacetime. Farley's brutal act is a bitter result created by both social rejection and cultural trauma. Farley, once a war victim, is ultimately twisted into a brutal perpetrator by despair.

IV. THE ICE LAKE: SELF-PURIFICATION EXCLUDED FROM MAN

Lester Farley's murder of Coleman Silk and Faunia is a kind of expiation of blood by blood, an explosion of despair caused by a man destroyed by war and abandoned by society. This act of violence is a crime, but Philip Roth does not end the story with a simple judgment or a legal trial for an individual. Instead, he carefully designs the intriguing ending. Nathan Zuckerman encounters with Farley on a desolate, ice-covered lake. This scene is not a random detail, but the climax of Roth's critical intent. Through the symbolic ice-fishing scene and Zuckerman's silent choice, Roth shifts the focus of judgment away from Farley as an individual murderer, and instead puts American society itself on trial.

After the murder, Farley does not hide in the crowd. Instead, he chooses to stay in a remote place in the middle of winter, fishing alone on ice that is a foot and a half thick. This is a form of self-imposed exile. He chooses to stay far from people-far from the corrupt society that once rejected him and would now judge him as well. "The frozen lake becomes an isolated, primitive 'unexplored land'" (Yuan

109). Here, Farley talks to God while fishing, cleaning the dust from his heart on the pure ice, seeking a kind of self-purification in the court of his own soul. In this way, he separates himself from a world full of hypocrisy, prejudice, and social violence.

Farley says his goal is “away from man, close to God” (Roth, *The Human Stain* 331). This doesn’t mean traditional religion, but a search for the most basic, original connection. It means a quiet conversation with nature and some higher force or a true self that has not been polluted by society, history, or culture. Here, “man” means the empty shell of civilization, what the author calls “the human stain” while the silence and whiteness of the ice lake give Farley a space to filter his trauma and deeply reflect. This ice-fishing is a chance for Farley to return to an almost primitive state. In Roth’s writing, “nature” has both an instinctive and an intelligent side (“to be intelligent”), seeking an open body and a release from social constraints (Zhang 86-87). Farley’s solitude on the ice lake can be seen as an attempt, in an extreme moment, to return to a more “natural” state of being which is closer to the original self before war and society twisted him, a Rousseau-like moment of self-examination.

The scene on the ice lake is often seen as a symbol of purification (Zhang 86; Yuan 109; Yang 73). The clean, white surface of the ice suggests the possibility of washing away dirt. But this “purification” is not a simple moral redemption. As Yuan sharply pointed out, Farley is “purifying himself in the court of his own soul” (Yuan 109). It is an inward, lonely, and deeply painful state. By admitting, “She was a lovely woman. Entirely blameless” (Roth, *The Human Stain* 339), he begins to deconstruct the way he lived and to confess his guilt for the accident. This shows that, in his lonely exile, he may have started to understand a long-suppressed truth. Yet this purification is tragic: it comes with guilt that can never be undone, with permanent mental scars, and a total break from society. It is not a path to heaven, but a desperate attempt to find a moment of peace on the edge of hell. Through this scene,

Roth paints a sad picture of a soul destroyed by society, struggling to survive amid the ruins.

Zuckerman, as the story’s narrator and the only creator of this story, is the only one who truly understands Farley’s crimes—the stalking, the threats, and the intentional murder and as well as the tragic truth about the death of Coleman and Faunia. At first, he goes back and forth between the police station and Athena College, trying to restore the names of these two victims and send their killer to court. At that point, he still believed in the justice and morals of society. But the encounter with Farley on the ice lake greatly changes Zuckerman’s thought. Through this conversation, he “sees a lonely soul rooted in deep darkness, a true heart that has never been shaped or controlled by civilization” (Gao qtd in Yuan 109). What he sees is not just a murderer, but a man destroyed by the war machine and abandoned by a cold, uncaring society. Zuckerman understands Farley’s spiritual death, the roots of his PTSD, and the suffocating despair and social forces that shaped his crime.

In the end, Zuckerman chooses silence and does not hand Farley over to the police or society. This choice has deep critical meaning. Zuckerman understands that turning Farley over to a court—whether it is a court of law or a court of public opinion like at Athena College would only repeat the unreasonable act that destroyed Coleman. That campaign was based on misunderstanding and prejudice, taking away Coleman’s voice and dignity. To send Farley who is silenced, shaped, and defined by society’s prejudices into the same system would be another form of unfairness and cruelty. It’s just a replay of Coleman’s tragedy. Zuckerman knows that a court of society cannot understand the complexity of tragedy, nor can it ever touch the root of the crime.

Zuckerman’s silence comes from a deep understanding that Farley’s personal crime is truly the result of society’s sins. Farley is first a victim of the nation’s war policies, and then a target of society’s systemic abandonment and cultural repression. His violence came from the society that created and then rejected him. Punishing Farley as an individual

will never bring justice for the war, expose society's hypocrisy and coldness, or acknowledge the collective amnesia that surrounds trauma. Zuckerman chooses to be a silent witness rather than an accuser. His silence is itself a quiet but powerful protest. It shows how the existing ways society tries to resolve tragedy through law and superficial moral judgment. However, all has completely failed. It forces readers to turn their attention to those truly responsible: the society that started an unjust war, abandoned its own soldiers, and sank into hypocritical displays of virtue. Zuckerman promises to "write about people like [Farley]" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 340), to mark these wounds and their roots in history, making sure they will not be forgotten.

Through Farley's transformation from a wounded soldier on the battlefield, to an abandoned outcast, and finally to a twisted murderer in self-exile on the ice lake, Roth clearly draws a line of cause and effect from society's crimes (the war) to the destruction of the individual. Farley's life and ending stand as a powerful protest against the "the human stain" of society. He is both a product of society's sins and a living proof of its guilt. As Aimee Pozorski sharply points out, "as a nation, the United States has not moved beyond the fratricidal conflict inextricable from its founding" (110). Farley's tragedy is an explosion of that deep-rooted violence within the nation, a symptom of the Vietnam War's long-lasting pain.

Through the title of *The Human Stain* and Farley's story, Roth finally shows that the "stain" is not only about Coleman's hidden identity or Farley's personal crimes. The real "stain" is this: war turns men into killing machines and creates deep wounds that can never be fully healed. The government starts the war but then abandons its soldiers. Society blames its failures on the veteran. The tragedy of Athena College attacking Coleman is a sad reflection of Farley's violence. Both reveal how different forms of obsession with "purity" can tear apart the social bond (Zhang 84). Society refuses to face the truth of the Vietnam War, trying to cover its pain with symbols like the "Moving

Wall", but this forgetting only plants the seeds for new tragedy.

The ending of the novel makes Roth's warning even stronger: if American society does not deeply rethink its obsession with war, its hunger for power, its systemic abandonment of individuals, and its collective amnesia about its own "stain," then the tragedy of Farley-a human disaster caused by war trauma and social rejection-will continue to repeat itself throughout history. Farley's lonely ice-fishing scene becomes a sharp and cold mirror, reflecting the deep stains and historical struggles at the heart of American society.

V. CONCLUSION

Lester Farley's career is Roth's searing critique of America's human stain. Farley targets Coleman not from inherent evil, but because Coleman embodies the success, discourse, and social legitimacy that a war-traumatized, abandoned veteran is denied. His violence is the inevitable eruption of unhealed wounds inflicted by Vietnam and compounded by national neglect. The ice lake's haunting imagery, where Zuckerman bears witness but refuses societal judgment, underscores Roth's ultimate thesis: Farley's tragedy is America's crime. By silencing Farley's story until the epilogue and having Zuckerman finally "write about people like [him]" (Roth, *The Human Stain* 340), Roth forces readers to confront the nation's cycle of violence and its victims. Unless America confronts the war's true legacy: its corruption of identity, its systemic betrayal, and its culture of forgetting. If not, the human stain will perpetuate new tragedies. As Roth warns through Farley's solitude on the ice, salvation lies not in purifying scapegoats, but in cleansing the society that creates them.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aimee Pozorski. "About People like You': PTSD and the Ethical Relation in Roth's *The Human Stain*." *Philip Roth Studies* 13.1 (2017): 105.
- [2] Barnard, Lianne. "Is Philip Roth 'against Political

Correctness’?: ‘Whiteness’ as Desired Norm and Invisible Terror in *The Human Stain*.” *Brno studies in English* 1 (2017): [107]-125.

[3] Connell, Robert W., and James W. Messerschmidt. “Hegemonic masculinity: Rethinking the concept”. *Gender & Society* 19.6 (2005): 829-859.

[4] Hellmann, John. *American Myth and the Legacy of Vietnam*. United States, Columbia University Press, 1989.

[5] Herman, Judith Lewis. “Trauma and Recovery: From Domestic Abuse to Political Terror. 1992.” *London: Pandora* (2001).

[6] Holsinger, Maurice Paul, editor. *War and American Popular Culture: A Historical Encyclopedia*. Westport, Conn, Greenwood Press, 1999.

[7] Jeffords, Susan. *The Remasculinization of America: Gender and the Vietnam War*. Indiana University Press, 1989.

[8] Roth, Philip. *Reading Myself and Others*. London, Vintage Books, 2007.

[9] Roth, Philip. *The Human Stain*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2000.

[10] Safer, Elaine B. “Tragedy and Farce in Roth’s: *The Human Stain*.” *Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction* 43.3 (2002): 211-27.

[11] Self Will. *The Contemporary British Novel*. London: Continuum International Publishing Group, 2007.

[12] Tal, Kalí. *Worlds of Hurt: Reading the Literatures of Trauma*. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1996.

[13] 高婷:《社会透视与人性的拷问: <人性的污秽>再审视》, 载《外国文学》2021年第1期, 第83-91页。

[14] 李桂荣:《创伤叙事: 安东尼·伯吉斯创伤文学作品研究》。北京:知识产权出版社, 2010。

[15] 张建军:《从<人性的污秽>看菲利普·罗斯的身体意识》, 载《外国文学研究》2016年第1期, 第77-89页。

[16] 杨明坤:《探寻身份的秘密——菲利普·罗斯<人性的污秽>主题意蕴解析》, 载《山东理工大学学报(社会科学版)》2014年第5期, 第71-73页。

[17] 袁雪生:《身份隐喻背后的生存悖论——读菲利普·罗斯的<人性的污秽>》, 载《外国文学研究》2007年第6期, 第104-110页。